# Statewide and Electricity-Sector Models for Economic Assessments of Hawai'i Clean Energy Policies

Prepared for the

U.S. Department of Energy Office of Electricity Delivery and Energy Reliability

Under Award No. DE-FC26-06NT42847

Hawai'i Distributed Energy Resource Technologies for Energy Security

Subtask 9.2 Deliverable

Economic and Environmental Modeling of Island Energy Systems

By the

Hawai'i Natural Energy Institute School of Ocean and Earth Science and Technology University of Hawai'i

And

University of Hawai'i Economic Research Organization

August 2012

*Acknowledgement:* This material is based upon work supported by the United States Department of Energy under Award Number DE-FC-06NT42847.

*Disclaimer:* This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference here in to any specific commercial product, process, or service by tradename, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

# **Project Team**

# Principal Investigator: Makena Coffman, PhD Economics

Makena Coffman is an Assistant Professor of Urban and Regional Planning, University of Hawaii at Manoa. She teaches graduate courses in environmental planning related to climate change mitigation and adaptation in the Asia/Pacific Region; energy policy; planning methods; and environmental valuation. She specializes in economicenvironment modeling, holds a B.A. in international relations from Stanford University and a Ph.D. in economics from the University of Hawaii at Manoa. She is a Research Associate with University of Hawaii Economic Research Organization and Affiliate Faculty with the Public Policy Center UHM.

# Paul Bernstein, PhD Operations Research

Paul Bernstein is a Principal at Charles River Associates. He specializes in developing economic models applied to such diverse fields as climate change policy, alternative-fueled vehicles, fuel markets, auctions, and electricity generation. He holds a B.A. in mathematics and physics from U.C. San Diego and a Ph.D. in operations research from Stanford University.

# Aaron Mann, Masters Candidate, Urban and Regional Planning UHM

Aaron Mann is a Research Assistant with the University of Hawaii Economic Research Organization. He is studying land-use and environmental planning with specific focus on conservation easements.

Pı	roject Team	.3
1.	Overview	. 6
2.	The Hawaii Computable General Equilibrium Model (H-CGE)	. 8
	2.a. Data Inputs	. 8
	State of Hawaii Input-Output Table	. 8
	I-O Table Sector List	9
	14-Sector Aggregation	9
	Industry Composition	10
	Final Demand	11
	EIA Oil Price Projections	12
	2.b. Model Structure	13
	Production of Non-Energy Sectors	13
	Production of Petroleum Manufacturing	15
	Production of Electricity	16
	Household Consumption	17
	Household Budget Constraint	19
	Visitors	19
	Government	20
	Balance of Payments	20
	Market Clearing	21
	Elasticity Values	21
	Dynamic Calibration	22
	EIA Oil Price Scenarios	23
	2.c. Model Results: Baseline Scenarios	23
	Macroeconomic Results	23
	Energy Sector Results	26
3	HELM	29
5.	39 Data Innuts	20
	Existing Unit data	20
	Existing Onit data	42
	Costs	30
	Costs Operating Characteristics	30 31
	Costs Operating Characteristics New Unit Data	30 31 32
	Costs Operating Characteristics New Unit Data System wide data and forecasts	30 31 32 33
	Costs Operating Characteristics New Unit Data System wide data and forecasts Load Data -Energy	30 31 32 33 33
	Costs Operating Characteristics New Unit Data System wide data and forecasts Load Data -Energy Load Data - Peak	30 31 32 33 33 34
	Costs Operating Characteristics New Unit Data System wide data and forecasts Load Data -Energy Load Data - Peak Environmental Policies	30 31 32 33 33 34 34
	Costs Operating Characteristics New Unit Data System wide data and forecasts Load Data - Energy Load Data - Peak Environmental Policies Fuel Prices	30 31 32 33 33 34 34 34
	Costs Operating Characteristics New Unit Data System wide data and forecasts Load Data - Energy Load Data - Peak Environmental Policies Fuel Prices <b>3.b Model Description</b>	30 31 32 33 33 34 34 34 34 35
	Costs Operating Characteristics New Unit Data System wide data and forecasts Load Data - Energy Load Data - Peak Environmental Policies Fuel Prices <b>3.b Model Description</b> Objective function	30 31 32 33 33 34 34 34 34 35 35
	Costs Operating Characteristics New Unit Data System wide data and forecasts Load Data - Energy Load Data - Peak Environmental Policies Fuel Prices. 3.b Model Description Objective function 3.c Results	30 31 32 33 33 34 34 34 34 35 35 38
	Costs Operating Characteristics New Unit Data System wide data and forecasts Load Data - Energy Load Data - Peak Environmental Policies Fuel Prices <b>3.b Model Description</b> Objective function State level	30 31 32 33 33 34 34 34 34 35 35 38 38
	Costs Operating Characteristics New Unit Data System wide data and forecasts Load Data - Energy Load Data - Peak Environmental Policies Fuel Prices <b>3.b Model Description</b> Objective function State level Oahu	30 31 32 33 33 34 34 34 35 35 38 38 40
	Costs. Operating Characteristics. New Unit Data System wide data and forecasts Load Data - Energy. Load Data - Peak Environmental Policies Fuel Prices. 3.b Model Description. Objective function 3.c Results. State level Oahu. Hawaii	30 31 32 33 33 34 34 34 35 35 38 38 40 42
	Costs. Operating Characteristics. New Unit Data System wide data and forecasts Load Data - Energy. Load Data - Peak Environmental Policies Fuel Prices. <b>3.b Model Description</b> . Objective function <b>3.c Results</b> . State level Oahu. Hawaii Kauai	30 31 32 33 33 34 34 34 35 35 38 38 40 42 43
	Costs Operating Characteristics New Unit Data System wide data and forecasts Load Data - Energy Load Data - Peak Environmental Policies Fuel Prices. <b>3.b Model Description</b> Objective function State level Oahu Hawaii Kauai Maui	30 31 32 33 33 34 34 34 35 35 38 38 40 42 43 45
	Costs.       Operating Characteristics         New Unit Data       System wide data and forecasts         Load Data - Energy       Load Data - Peak.         Environmental Policies       Fuel Prices <b>3.b Model Description</b> Objective function <b>3.c Results</b> State level         Oahu.       Hawaii         Kauai       Maui         Caveats       Caveats	30 31 32 33 33 34 34 34 35 35 38 38 40 42 43 45 46
Α	Costs Operating Characteristics New Unit Data System wide data and forecasts Load Data - Energy Load Data - Peak Environmental Policies Fuel Prices <b>3.b Model Description</b> Objective function State level Oahu Hawaii Kauai Maui Caveats	30 31 32 33 33 34 34 34 35 35 38 38 40 42 43 45 46
4.	Costs. Operating Characteristics New Unit Data System wide data and forecasts Load Data - Energy Load Data - Peak. Environmental Policies Fuel Prices. <b>3.b Model Description</b> Objective function <b>3.c Results</b> State level Oahu Hawaii Kauai Maui Caveats <b>Model Integration</b>	30 31 32 33 34 34 34 35 35 38 38 40 42 43 45 46 <b>47</b>

References	50
Appendix I. H-CGE Model Code	53
Appendix II. HELM Code	84

# 1. Overview

Although petroleum manufacturing accounts for 2.7% of economic activity in Hawaii (a \$2.4b industry relative to a \$90.3b economy),<sup>1</sup> fluctuating oil prices can have a dramatic effect on real economic activity (Coffman, Konan and Surles (2007); Coffman (2008); and cites therein). More specifically, Hawaii is particularly vulnerable to increases in oil prices, because unlike most other U.S. states Hawaii meets nearly 80% of its electricity needs through oil-burning. Hawaii, led by the State Energy Office, currently plans a significant shift away from fossil fuels towards renewable energy sources. Decision-makers will need to understand the sector-level and economy-wide impacts of policy decisions. To this end, this work develops two complementary models: 1) a statewide economy model with a focus on energy consumption and supply, and 2) a detailed electricity sector model.

The Hawaii Computable General Equilibrium Model (H-CGE) is based on a Social Accounting Matrix of macro-economic and sector-level activity for a baseline year; in this case, 2005. The 2005 State of Hawaii Input-Output (I-O) Study developed by the State of Hawaii Department of Business, Economic Development and Tourism, is the most recent I-O table available for Hawaii. A total of sixty-eight sectors are represented in this dataset including petroleum manufacturing, electricity, ground transportation, water transportation, and aviation. In addition, there are eleven agents of final demand including households, visitors, and federal and state governments. The sectors are aggregated for reporting purposes, based on modeling results and recommendations from the project team.

H-CGE is a dynamic model, projecting in five-year intervals to the year 2030 under three oil price scenarios provided by the Energy Information Administration's (EIA) *Annual Energy Outlook2010*: reference, high and low. The benefit of a general equilibrium framework is that it shows interaction between consumers and producers, including price feedbacks and capital accumulation over time.

The Hawaii Electricity Model (HELM) is a detailed "bottom-up" representation of the electricity sector. HELM is calibrated to existing electricity units in the year 2005 for Hawaii's four counties: the City & County of Honolulu, Maui County, Kauai County, and Hawaii County. HELM is a cost-minimization linear program model (i.e. a partial equilibrium representation of the electricity sector for the State) that uses H-CGE consistent assumptions regarding the world price of oil and electricity demand for the state to determine electricity generation type to the year 2030 (in five-year intervals). Electricity generation fuels include diesel, fuel oil, coal, municipal solid waste, geothermal, hydro, biodiesel, biomass, solar photovoltaic, and wind.

The following report provides a detailed overview of both H-CGE and HELM, discussing current model calibration, model harmonization and integration, and future scenario building. Section II of the report presents H-CGE; Section III presents HELM; and

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> DBEDT (2008). Based on the value of petroleum manufacturing relative to total output.

Section IV discusses how the models are integrated. In conclusion, Section V proposes scenarios for analysis and subsequent necessary model development.

# 2. The Hawaii Computable General Equilibrium Model (H-CGE)

H-CGE is an economy-wide computable general equilibrium model calibrated to the 2005 State of Hawaii Input-Output (I-O) Table maintained by the State of Hawaii Department of Business, Economic Development and Tourism.

The I-O Table provides a Social Account Matrix (SAM) of economic activity for Hawaii, at the macroeconomic level, sector level, and for final demand amongst key agents such as households, visitors, state and federal government. The I-O Table details 68 sectors of Hawaii's economy, 11 agents of final demand, and value-added in the form of capital endowments, wage labor, and proprietor income.

The term "general equilibrium" means that the model illustrates the interaction between both producers and consumers, including price effects in an equilibrium setting (i.e. equilibrium prices are calibrated to clear markets where supply equals demand).

Hawaii's economy is depicted as a small open economy. This means that Hawaii producers are modeled as world price takers, including the world price of oil. The oil price projections provided in EIA's *Annual Energy Outlook 2010* (reference, low, and high) are used to provide baseline scenarios to the year 2030. The model projects dynamically in five-year intervals to the year 2030, with endogenous capital accumulation and propelled by a historic rate of economic growth of 2.2% annually. Five-year intervals were chosen (rather than year-to-year) because of the large capital investments required in the electricity sector, thus negating smooth transitions on an annual basis.

H-CGE is solved using GAMS (General Algebraic Modeling System) and MPSGE (Mathematical Programming for General Equilibrium Analysis). For more information on these modeling platforms, refer to Brooke et al., 1988, and Rutherford, 1987 and 1999, respectively.

# 2.a. Data Inputs

The primary data inputs into H-CGE are the 2005 State of Hawaii Input-Output Table and EIA's 2010 oil price forecasts.

# State of Hawaii Input-Output Table

The following provides a list of detailed sectors within the 2005 State of Hawaii Input-Output Study and the aggregation used for reporting purposes (and for the following sections of this report). The sectors are presented in the order they appear within the I-O Table:

#### I-O Table Sector List

- 1 Sugarcane
- 2 Vegetables
- 3 Macadamia nuts
- 4 Pineapples
- 5 Flowers and Nursery Products
- 6 Other Crops
- 7 Animal Production
- 8 Aquaculture
- 9 Commercial Fishing
- 10 Forestry and Logging
- 11 Support Activities for Agriculture
- 12 Mining
- 13 Single Family Construction
- 14 Construction of Other Buildings
- 15 Heavy and Civil Engineering Construction
- 16 Maintenance & Repairs
- 17 Food Processing
- 18 Beverage Manufacturing
- 19 Apparel and Textile Manufacturing
- 20 Petroleum Manufacturing
- 21 Other Manufacturing
- 22 Air Transportation
- 23 Water Transportation
- 24 Truck and Rail Transportation
- 25 Transit and Ground Passenger Transportation
- 26 Scenic and Support Activities for Transportation
- 27 Couriers and Messengers
- 28 Warehousing and Storage
- 29 Publishing including internet
- 30 Motion Picture and Source Recording Industries
- 31 Broadcasting
- 32 Telecommunications
- 33 Internet providers, web, and data processing
- 34 Other Information Services

- 35 Electricity
- 36 Gas Production & Distribution
- 37 Wholesale Trade
- 38 Retail Trade
- 39 Credit Intermediation and Related Activities
- 40 Insurance Carriers and Related Activities
- 41 Other Finance and Insurance
- 42 Owner-Occupied Dwellings
- 43 Real Estate
- 44 Rental & Leasing and Others
- 45 Legal Services
- 46 Architectural and Engineering Services
- 47 Computer Systems Design Services
- 48 R&D in the Physical, Engineering & Life Sciences
- 49 Other Professional Services
- 50 Management of Companies and Enterprises
- 51 Travel Arrangement and Reservation Services
- 52 Administrative and Support Services
- 53 Waste Management and Remediation Services
- 54 Colleges Universities and Professional Schools
- 55 Other Educational Services
- 56 Ambulatory Health Care Services
- 57 Hospitals
- 58 Nursing and Residential Care Facilities
- 59 Social Assistance
- 60 Arts and Entertainment
- 61 Accommodations
- 62 Eating and Drinking
- 63 Repair and Maintenance
- 64 Personal and Laundry Services
- 65 Organizations
- 66 Federal Government Military
- 67 Federal Government Civilian
- 68 State and Local Government

#### 14-Sector Aggregation

- 20. Petroleum Manufacturing
  35. Electricity
  (1\*9,11).Agriculture
  10. Forestry
  (13\*16). Construction
  (12,17\*19,21). Other Manufacturing
  22. Air Transportation
  23. Water Transportation
  (24\*28).Other Transportation
- (37,38). Wholesale and Retail Trade
- (42\*44).Real Estate and Rentals
- (29\*34,36,39\*41,45\*65). Other Services
- (66,67). Federal Government
- 68. State Government

The 14-sector aggregation was chosen based on energy sectors of interest (i.e. electricity and petroleum manufacturing), potentially instrumental sectors in energy and climate change policy (such as agriculture and forestry), and other relatively highly energyintensive sectors (such as transportation). Other sectors are aggregated based on generalized groupings (such as other services).

# **Industry Composition**

On the production side, the 2005 State of Hawaii I-O Table provides the value of sectorlevel and value-added activity. In addition, it details the value of imports to each sector and the number of jobs. The following table, Table 1, provides a summary of the I-O Table.

	Total Output*	Inter- Industry Demand	Imports	Labor Income	Proprietor Income	Other Value- Added	Jobs**			
		\$ 2005 Billion								
Total	<b>\$90.3</b>	\$23.4	\$11.9	\$32.5	\$3.0	\$19.6	838,588			
Electricity	2.1%	4.5%	2.8%	0.9%	0.1%	3.0%	0.3%			
Petroleum Manufacturing	2.7%	5.4%	14.4%	0.2%	0.8%	0.5%	0.1%			
Agriculture	0.8%	1.4%	0.9%	0.8%	0.4%	0.6%	1.9%			
Forestry & Logging	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%			
Construction	7.9%	3.6%	15.0%	7.0%	12.3%	2.9%	5.3%			
Other Manufacturing	3.2%	5.5%	8.7%	2.0%	8.0%	0.2%	2.2%			
Air Transportation	2.4%	0.6%	3.9%	1.7%	0.1%	0.7%	1.2%			
Water Transportation	1.9%	1.5%	4.4%	0.5%	0.0%	0.5%	0.4%			
Other Transportation	1.6%	2.4%	0.8%	1.9%	4.1%	1.4%	2.1%			
Wholesale and Retail Trade	10.0%	9.3%	9.2%	9.4%	9.5%	12.9%	13.2%			
Real Estate and Rentals	15.5%	17.7%	4.4%	1.9%	15.4%	43.4%	5.1%			
Other Services	37.2%	45.3%	32.4%	39.1%	49.3%	27.7%	47.6%			
Federal Government	8.4%	1.1%	0.6%	20.9%	0.0%	3.1%	10.1%			
State & Local Government	6.3%	1.7%	2.3%	13.6%	0.0%	3.1%	10.5%			

Table 1. Overview of Hawaii's Production

Source: Department of Business, Economic Development, and Tourism, State of Hawaii (2008). The 2005 State Input-Output Study for Hawaii.

\* The value of total output is equal to the summed value of inter-industry demand, imports, labor income, proprietor income and other value-added. These components provide a "production function" for each sector detailed within the I-O Table.

\*\* "Jobs" represents both the quantity of employee labor and proprietor labor.

Hawaii's economy produces over \$90 billion of output annually. There are 839,000 jobs, with the largest employment in the service sector, including wholesale and retail trade (13% of jobs), and other services (48%). The state and local government is also a large employer, with nearly 11% of jobs and 14% of wages paid. The electric sector accounts for 2% of overall economic activity. Petroleum manufacturing accounts for nearly 3% of economic output and other energy-intensive sectors like transportation (including air transportation, water transportation and other transportation) account for nearly 6% of economic activity.

# Final Demand

Goods and services are consumed as intermediate inputs into the production of other industries, as well as by agents of final demand. Table 2 shows consumption of goods and services within Hawaii's economy by residents (households), visitors, state and local government, federal government, as well as the value that is put into investment and exported from the State.

	Household	Visitor	State and	Federal	Invostment	Fynanta			
	Demand	Demand	Local Gov*	Gov**	Investment	Exports			
		\$ 2005 Billion							
Total	\$36.4	\$16.4	\$6.8	<b>\$9.0</b>	\$7.9	\$3.9			
Electricity	1.8%	0.0%	2.9%	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%			
Petroleum Manufacturing	1.1%	0.2%	0.8%	0.6%	0.0%	15.5%			
Agriculture	0.4%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	5.5%			
Forestry & Logging	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%			
Construction	0.0%	0.0%	10.7%	4.8%	65.3%	0.0%			
Other Manufacturing	1.7%	0.5%	0.5%	0.5%	1.3%	18.7%			
Air Transportation	1.0%	9.7%	0.3%	0.0%	0.1%	0.4%			
Water Transportation	1.3%	2.6%	0.2%	0.0%	2.4%	5.4%			
Other Transportation	0.7%	3.0%	0.5%	0.0%	0.3%	1.7%			
Wholesale and Retail Trade	11.6%	11.4%	1.3%	0.1%	7.1%	2.6%			
Real Estate and Rentals	20.4%	12.7%	1.3%	0.1%	0.5%	5.7%			
Other Services	36.9%	45.7%	3.1%	2.9%	5.6%	29.7%			
Federal Government	1.1%	0.5%	0.0%	76.5%	0.0%	0.0%			
State & Local Government	0.7%	0.0%	73.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%			
Imports	21.2%	13.6%	4.8%	14.1%	17.5%	14.8%			

Table 2. Overview of Hawaii's Final Consumption

Source: Department of Business, Economic Development, and Tourism, State of Hawaii (2008). The 2005 State Input-Output Study for Hawaii.

\* State and Local Government includes both investment and consumption

\*\* Federal Government includes both civilian and military, investment and consumption.

Residents consume \$36 billion of goods and services annually, the largest portions being services (37%), real estate (20%) and imported products (21%). Residents spend \$654 million on electricity and \$416 million on petroleum products (primarily gasoline). Visitors, on the other hand, do not consume electricity "directly" (i.e. they are not customers of the electric utilities) but rather "indirectly" through hotel services and other amenities. The benefit of an I-O framework is that this "indirect" consumption of electricity is accounted for within the model.

State and local government spend \$200 million annually on electricity, while the federal government spends \$24 million.

Interestingly, petroleum manufacturing is amongst the largest *physical* exports from the State, a value of \$609 million.<sup>2</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Visitors are also typically classified as an "export good."

# EIA Oil Price Projections

The EIA *Annual Energy Outlook 2010* includes oil price forecasts on a reference scenario, high oil prices, and low oil prices. The *Annual Energy Outlook* creates the projections using the EIA's National Energy Modeling System, based on varying economic growth projections. The following table, Table 3, details the forecast on an annual basis and Figure 1 shows a graphical representation.

	Ref	erence	I	LOW	High		
Year	\$/bbl	Relative to 2005	\$/bbl	Relative to 2005	\$/bbl	Relative to 2005	
2005	\$48	1.00	\$48	1.00	\$48	1.00	
2010	\$67	1.40	\$67	1.40	\$67	1.40	
2015	\$87	1.81	\$44	0.92	\$137	2.85	
2020	\$98	2.04	\$42	0.88	\$176	3.67	
2025	\$104	2.17	\$41	0.85	\$186	3.88	
2030	\$111	2.31	\$42	0.88	\$194	4.04	

 Table 3. Oil Price Forecast

Figure 1. Oil Price Forecast to 2030



The forecast is used to determine baseline economic conditions, projecting to the year 2030 in five-year intervals. The projections are used for both H-CGE and HELM to determine a baseline assessment of Hawaii's economic growth, energy demand including electricity, and the relative cost of alternative energies. This baseline will then be used as three reference scenarios against which to assess policy alternatives (discussed in Section 5).

#### 2.b. Model Structure

H-CGE represents a classical Walrasian system where goods are produced under perfect competition and constant returns to scale using intermediate commodities, imports, labor (provided by households) and capital.

#### **Production of Non-Energy Sectors**

Production in the economy is represented through a nested-Leontief function. At the first level, a Leontief production function represents final output  $(Y_j)$  in sector j=1,..n as made up of intermediate inputs  $(Z_{ij})$  of commodity i=1,..n, and energy/value-added  $(EV_j)$ . Final output of sector j and intermediate input of commodity i include all sectors with the exception of energy (petroleum manufacturing and electricity):

$$Y_{j} = \min[Z_{1j} / \alpha_{1j}, \dots Z_{nj} / \alpha_{nj}, EV_{j} / \alpha_{vj}]$$

$$\tag{1}$$

where  $\alpha_{ij}$ ,  $\alpha_{vj}$  are unit input coefficients for intermediates and energy/value-added respectively.

At the second level, intermediate inputs consist of flexible domestically-produced and importable commodities represented through an Armington<sup>3</sup> constant elasticity of substitution (CES) production nest:

$$Z_{ij} = \left[\theta_{Dij} D_{ij}^{(\varepsilon_{ijm}-1)/\varepsilon_{ijm}} + \theta_{Mj} M_j^{(\varepsilon_{ijm}-1)/\varepsilon_{ijm}}\right]^{\varepsilon_{ijm}/(\varepsilon_{ijm}-1)}$$
(2)

where  $\varepsilon_{ijm}$  is the CES substitution between domestically-produced good *i* and imports by producer *j*.  $\varepsilon_{ijm}$  takes the value 1.0.  $D_{ij}$  is what producer *j* demands of sector *i* for domestically-produced goods and  $M_j$  is the composite import good demanded by sector *j*. The parameter shares are represented by  $\theta_{Dij}$  and  $\theta_{Mj}$ , respectively.

For the energy/value-added  $(EV_j)$  nest, energy sectors  $(E_j)$  are represented as substitutable with value-added  $(V_j)$ :

$$EV_{j} = \left[\alpha_{Ej}E_{j}^{(\sigma_{EVj}-1)/\sigma_{EVj}} + \alpha_{Vj}V_{j}^{(\sigma_{EVj}-1)/\sigma_{EVj}}\right]^{\sigma_{EVj}/(\sigma_{EVj}-1)}$$
(3)

where  $\sigma_{EV_j}$  is the CES among energy and value-added variables and  $\alpha_{E_j}$ ,  $\alpha_{V_j}$  are the respective parameter shares.  $\sigma_{EV_j}$  takes the value 0.5.

Value-added consists of capital  $(K_j)$  and labor  $(L_j)$ , where labor is a composite of wage labor and proprietor income:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> The "Armington assumption" states that goods are differentiated by country of origin and is often used in regional CGE models to account for cross-hauling in trade data and to preclude unrealistic extreme specialization within countries. See Armington (1969).

$$V_{j} = \left[\alpha_{Lj} L_{j}^{(\sigma_{lj}-1)} / \sigma_{lj} + \alpha_{Kj} K_{j}^{(\sigma_{lj}-1)} / \sigma_{lj}\right]^{\sigma_{lj}} (\sigma_{lj}-1)$$

$$\tag{4}$$

where  $\sigma_{V_j}$  is the CES among value-added variables and  $\alpha_{L_j}$ ,  $\alpha_{K_j}$  are the respective parameter shares.  $\sigma_{V_j}$  takes the value 1.0.

Labor  $(L_j)$  is a composite between wage labor  $(W_j)$  and proprietor income  $(R_j)$ , which are, represented in a Leontief relationship:

$$L_{j} = \min[W_{j} / \alpha_{W_{j}}, R_{j} / \alpha_{R_{j}}]$$
(5)

where  $\alpha_{W_j}$ ,  $\alpha_{R_j}$  are unit input coefficients for wage labor and proprietor income respectively.

Energy sectors include electricity  $(EL_i)$  and petroleum manufacturing  $(PM_i)$  such that:

$$E_{j} = \left[\alpha_{ELj}EL_{j}^{(\sigma_{Ej}-1)/\sigma_{Ej}} + \alpha_{PMj}PM_{j}^{(\sigma_{Ej}-1)/\sigma_{Ej}}\right]^{\sigma_{Ej}/(\sigma_{Ej}-1)}$$
(6)

where  $\sigma_{Ej}$  is the CES among energy sector variables and  $\alpha_{ELj}$ ,  $\alpha_{PMj}$ , are the respective parameter shares.  $\sigma_{Ej}$  takes the value 0.2.

The initial endowment of wage labor, proprietor income, and capital  $(\overline{W}_0, \overline{R}_0, \overline{K}_0)$  are given within the baseline dataset. In calibration, the value of the initial endowment of wage labor, proprietor income and other value-added must equal the sum of each factor over all *j* industries.

$$W = \overline{W}_0 = \sum_j W_j \tag{7}$$

$$R = \overline{R}_0 = \sum_j R_j \tag{8}$$

$$K \equiv \overline{K}_0 = \sum_j K_j \tag{9}$$

Output commodity  $Y_j$  can either be consumed domestically or exported and, under the Armington assumption, is differentiated for those markets using a constant elasticity of transformation (CET) function between domestic  $(D_j)$  sales and exports  $(X_j)$ :

$$Y_{j} = \left[\beta_{Dj} D_{j}^{(\varepsilon_{j}-1)/\varepsilon_{j}} + \beta_{Xj} X_{j}^{(\varepsilon_{j}-1)/\varepsilon_{j}}\right]^{\varepsilon_{j}/(\varepsilon_{j}-1)}$$
(10)

where  $\varepsilon_j$  is the elasticity of transformation and  $\beta_{Dj}$ ,  $\beta_{Xj}$  are parameter shares.  $\varepsilon_j$  equals 5.0.

Figure 2 provides a graphical representation of the described production function for nonenergy sectors.





# Production of Petroleum Manufacturing

The production of the petroleum manufacturing sector is assumed to be somewhat more "rigid" than other sectors. Specifically, the production of petroleum manufacturing output (i.e refined petroleum products) is assumed to be a nested Leontief structure, with the exception of the value-added nest (which takes the form of Cobb-Douglas). This means that there is ability to upgrade capital stocks to alter sectoral output. Otherwise, without capital upgrades, refinery technology is such that inputs are taken in fixed proportions.

In addition, for the purpose of the EIA oil price scenarios, the value of imports into the petroleum manufacturing sector is assumed to be primarily crude oil. Figure 3 shows a graphical representation of the production function for the petroleum manufacturing sector. Besides elasticity values, the equations remain unchanged relative to the production function for non-energy sectors and thus equations are not again provided.



Figure 3. Petroleum Manufacturing Production Structure

# **Production of Electricity**

While the electric sector is the primary sector of interest for this analysis, the sector is defined in detail within HELM. Thus the electricity sector within H-CGE acts as a placeholder in which results from HELM are used as inputs to produce new equilibrium conditions.

In terms of H-CGE's output being used as input into HELM, H-CGE provides the dynamic projection of baseline electricity demand for the State under the three EIA oil price scenarios. To more accurately represent demand over time, an exogenous electricity efficiency parameter is used to represent gains in both technology and federal programs (which are not explicitly modeled in H-CGE). The parameter is estimated using EIA data on residential energy intensities and economy-wide efficiency parameters (energy per GDP) over time (U.S. Energy Information Administration, 1999; U.S. Energy Information Administration, 2009). The figure is taken to be a 0.8% annual efficiency gain (4.0% over a five-year time period).

Figure 4 provides a graphical representation of the production function for the electricity sector.



Figure 4. Electricity Sector Production Structure

## Household Consumption

Household consumption, at the first level, is represented by a Cobb-Douglas utility function between transportation (TC) and other consumption (OC):

$$U = \left[\rho_{TC}TC^{(\sigma-1)/\sigma} + \rho_{OC}OC^{(\sigma-1)/\sigma}\right]^{\sigma/(\sigma-1)}$$

$$(11)$$

where U is the utility level, TC is consumption of transportation, and OC is the consumption of other goods;  $\rho_{TC}$ ,  $\rho_{OC}$  are the resident income expenditure share on transportation and other consumption, respectively; and  $\sigma$  is the CES parameter, taking a Cobb-Douglas form (value of 1).

Within other consumption (OC), households consume both energy goods (EH) and nonenergy goods (C):

$$O\mathbb{C} = \left[\theta_{EH} EH^{(\sigma_{OC} - 1)}_{\sigma_{OC}} + \theta_{C} C^{(\sigma_{OC} - 1)}_{\sigma_{OC}}\right]^{\sigma_{OC}}_{\sigma_{OC} - 1} \qquad (12)$$

where *EH* is the energy consumption of households, and *C* is the consumption of other goods;  $\theta_{EH}$ ,  $\theta_{C}$  are the parameter shares, respectively; and  $\sigma_{OC}$  is the CES parameter, taking the value of 0.25.

Households consume energy goods in the form of electricity (*EL*) and gas (*GS*):

$$EH = \left[\theta_{EL}EL^{(\sigma_{EH}-1)}/\sigma_{EH} + \theta_{GS}GS^{(\sigma_{EH}-1)}/\sigma_{EH}\right]^{\sigma_{EH}}/(\sigma_{EH}-1)$$
(13)

where *EL* is the electricity consumption of households, and *GS* is the gas consumption of households;  $\theta_{EL}$ ,  $\theta_{GS}$  are the parameter shares, respectively; and  $\sigma_{EH}$  is the CES parameter, taking the value of 0.1.

Residents flexibly consume both domestically-produced goods (i=1,...,n) and an imported composite good (m):

$$C_{i} = \left[\theta_{D_{i}} D_{i}^{\left(\varepsilon_{M} - 1\right)} + \theta_{M} M^{\left(\varepsilon_{M} - 1\right)} \right]^{\varepsilon_{M}} \left[\varepsilon_{M} - 1\right]^{\varepsilon_{M}}$$
(14)

where  $\varepsilon_M$  is the Armington CES between domestically-produced good *i* and imports *m*, taking the value of 1.0.  $D_i$  is resident demand for domestically-produced good *i* and *M* is imported demand. The parameter shares are represented by  $\theta_{Di}$  and  $\theta_M$ , respectively.

For transportation consumption, households consume purchased transportation (PT) and private transportation (i.e. private vehicles, "cars," represented through the purchase of gasoline) (*CR*):

$$TC = \left[\theta_{PT} PT^{(\sigma_{TC}-1)} / \sigma_{TC} + \theta_{CR} CR^{(\sigma_{TC}-1)} / \sigma_{TC}\right]^{\sigma_{TC}} (\sigma_{TC}-1)$$
(15)

where *PT* is the consumption of purchased transportation by households,<sup>4</sup> and *CR* is the consumption of gasoline (and diesel) for ground transportation;  $\theta_{PT}$ ,  $\theta_{CR}$  are the parameter shares, respectively; and  $\sigma_{TC}$  is the CES parameter, taking the value of 0.1. This level of detail is provided within the household sector because the oil price scenarios will greatly impact household transportation patterns.

Figure 5 provides a graphical representation of the utility function.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Including air transportation, water transportation, trucking, bus transit, and sightseeing transportation.

**Figure 5. Household Consumption** 



#### Household Budget Constraint

A representative resident's expenditure constraint can be written as:

$$\sum_{i} p_{i}C_{ri} + p_{m}C_{rm} = p_{w}W + p_{R}R + p_{K}K + \overline{p}_{fx}BP - T_{r}$$
(16)

where prices  $p_i$  represent the market prices for commodities i = 1, ...n and  $p_m$  is the price of imports.  $C_{ri}$  is resident consumption of good *i* and  $C_{rm}$  is the consumption of imported goods. The resident derives income from factors of production including wage labor (*W*), proprietor income (*P*), and capital (*K*), where  $p_W$ ,  $p_R$ , and  $p_K$  are the market price of the respective factors. The resident pays a lump-sum tax ( $T_r$ ), net of transfer payments, to the State and Local Government. The resident also receives foreign exchange ( $\overline{p}_{fx}BP$ ) from a balance of payment deficit, described below.

#### Visitors

Visitor consumption is represented through a simple Cobb-Douglas utility function:

$$U_{v} = \left[\sum_{i} \rho_{vi} C_{vi}^{(\sigma_{vi} - 1)} / \sigma_{vi} + \rho_{m} C_{vm}\right]^{\sigma_{vi}} (\sigma_{vi} - 1)$$
(17)

where  $U_v$  is the visitor utility level,  $C_{vi}$  is consumption of domestic goods and services,  $C_{vm}$  is the consumption of imported goods, and  $\rho_{vi}$  and  $\rho_{vm}$  are the visitor income expenditure share on commodities i = 1, ..n and imports, respectively.  $\sigma_{vi}$  is the CES parameter, taking a Cobb-Douglas form (value of 1).

Because visitors do not provide labor or earn income within Hawaii, a representative visitor's income  $(I_v)$  is taken to be exogenous:

$$I_{v} \equiv I_{v0} = \sum_{i} p_{i} C_{vi} + p_{m} C_{vm}$$
(18)

where  $I_{\nu 0}$  is the initial visitor expenditure.

#### Government

Government activity is represented through the State and Local Government (SG) and the Federal Government (FG). Each government type purchases domestic commodities ( $G_{gi}$ ) and imports ( $G_{gm}$ ) according to a Leontief utility function to assure a constant level of public provision:

$$U_{g} = \min[G_{g1}, .., G_{gn}, G_{gm}]$$
(19)

where g = SG, FG.

The State and Local Government depends entirely on the economy for the tax base:

$$\sum_{i} p_i G_{SLi} + p_m G_{SLm} = \sum_{i} p_i Y_i \tau_i + T_r$$
<sup>(20)</sup>

where  $p_i$  and  $p_m$  are the price of commodities i=1,..,n and imports, respectively. Thus the left-hand side represents the cost of public expenditures. These expenditures are funded primarily through the State's general excise tax ( $\tau_i$ ) on producer output ( $Y_i$ ) of commodity *i*. The State and Local Government also impose a variety of taxes ( $T_r$ ), such as property and income taxes on residents.

The market clearing conditions must hold such that the cost of public expenditures balances government income.

$$\sum_{i} p_i G_{gi} + p_m G_{gm} = I_{g0} \equiv I_g \tag{21}$$

#### **Balance of Payments**

A balance of external payments (*BP*) is maintained under the assumption of a fixed exchange rate ( $\overline{p}_{fx}$ ), where  $\overline{p}_{fx}$  is the exchange rate with the "rest of the world." This assumption is made because Hawaii uses the U.S. dollar as a means of currency and, as a small economy, has no effect on the exchange rate. The quantity of imports (*M*) is constrained by the inflow of dollars obtained from visitor expenditures ( $I_{v}$ ), Federal Government expenditures ( $I_{FG}$ ), and Hawaii exports ( $X_j$ ). Because Hawaii is a price taker, import and export prices are exogenous.

$$\overline{p}_{fx}BP = \overline{p}_m M - I_v - I_{FG} - \sum_j \overline{p}_{xj} X_j$$
(22)

#### Market Clearing

Constant returns to scale and perfect competition ensure that the producer price  $(p_j)$  equals the marginal cost of output in each sector *j*. In addition, the State and Local Government collects a general excise tax  $(\tau_j)$  on sales. This implies that the value of total output (supply) equals producer costs, where  $p_W$ ,  $p_R$ , and  $p_K$  equal the market price of labor, proprietor income, and capital respectively.

$$p_{j}Y_{j}(1+\tau_{j}) = \sum_{i=1,..n} p_{i}Z_{ij} + p_{W}W_{j} + p_{R}R_{j} + p_{m}M_{j}$$
(23)

In addition, sector *j* output, which supplies to the domestic market  $(D_j)$ , is demanded by households and visitors  $a \in \{h, v\}$ , and government  $g \in \{SG, FG\}$ , and industries  $Z_i = 1,..,n$ .

$$D_{i} = \sum_{a} C_{ai} + \sum_{g} G_{gi} + \sum_{i} Z_{i}$$

$$\tag{24}$$

In equilibrium, the value of output balances the value of inter-industry, consumer, and government agencies demand.

#### Elasticity Values

Table 4 provides the elasticity values used within H-CGE, provided above, and documented with a source where available.

Elasticity Between:	Value	Source
Domestic Consumption & Exports	5	Konan & Kim (2005); Ross (2007) uses 3.0
Non-Energy Sector Production		
Energy/Value-Added & Material Inputs	0	Ross (2007), standard assumption
Energy & Value-Added	0.5	Ross (2007)
Electricity & Oil	0.2	Ross (2007) uses 0.5, adjusted downwards
Capital & Income	1	Ross (2007), standard assumption
Wage Income & Proprietor Income	0	Assumes fixed relationship between proprietors and labor
Domestic Goods & Imported Goods	1	Armington Assumption, Cobb-Douglas
Petroleum Manufacturing Sector		
Value-Added & Material Inputs	0	Leontief Production, standard assumption
Capital & Income	1	Ross (2007), standard assumption
Wage Income & Proprietor Income	0	Assumes fixed relationship between proprietors and labor
Domestic Goods & Imported Goods	0	Armington Assumption, Leontief due to rigid technology
Electricity Sector		
Energy/Value-Added & Material Inputs	0	Ross (2007)
Energy & Value-Added	0.2	Ross (2007)
Electricity & Oil	0	Ross (2007)
Capital & Income	1	Ross (2007), standard assumption
Wage Income & Proprietor Income	0	Assumes fixed relationship between proprietors and labor
Domestic Goods & Imported Goods	0.3	Ross (2007)
Household Consumption		
Transportation & Other Consumption	1	Ross (2007)
Energy Goods & Non-Energy Goods	0.25	Ross (2007)
Electricity & Gas	0.1	Ross (2007) uses 0.4 for general "energy", adjusted down
Purchased & Private Transportation	0.1	Ross (2007) uses 0.2, but HI has few public transit options
Other Goods and Services	0.5	Ross (2007)
Domestic Goods & Imported Goods	1	Konan & Kim (2005)
Visitor Consumption		
Consumption Goods	1	Konan & Kim (2005)
Government		
Public Expenditures	0	Konan & Kim (2005)

 Table 4. Model Elasticity Values and Source

Elasticity values primarily follow the ADAGE model, a global (multi-country, multi-region) energy-CGE model developed by Martin Ross and documented in Ross (2007). In addition, figures are adopted from previous Hawaii-specific CGE modeling platforms, documented in Konan and Kim (2005). Leontief production functions (CES=0) and Cobb-Douglas preferences (CES=1) are generally standard CGE assumptions, as special cases of CES production and utility functions.

# Dynamic Calibration

H-CGE is a recursive-dynamic model, projecting in five-year intervals between the year 2005 and 2030. The primary driver of overall economic growth is an exogenous parameter based on Hawaii's historic growth rate, g, of 2.2% annually (11.6% growth rate over five years). This is calculated from historic estimates of real Gross State

Product, 1977 to 2008 (DBEDT, 2009). Capital accumulation is endogenous within the model, meaning that investment in one period leads to new capital stock in the next:

$$p_{K}K_{t+1} = (1 - \delta)(p_{K}K_{t-1} + p_{K}K_{t})$$
(25)

$$p_{K}K_{t} = (r+\delta)(p_{INV}INV_{t-1})$$
(26)

where  $\mathcal{F}$  is the capital depreciation rate and *r* is the rate of return on investment. The rate of return, *r*, is assumed to take the value of 5% annually (27.6% over five years) and  $\mathcal{F}$  is calibrated such that it is consistent with the overall growth rate, *g*, and *r*, given initial values of capital and investment provided in the benchmark dataset:

$$INV_0 = \frac{(\delta + g)p_K K_0}{(\delta + r)^5}$$
(27)

where  $\delta$  is calculated to take the value of 1.0% annually (5.1% over a five-year time period).<sup>6</sup>

Other drivers of economic growth, such as labor, visitor expenditures, and the balance of payments, are assumed to grow exogenously at the steady-state growth rate g:

$$p_W W_{t+1} = (1+g) p_W W_t \tag{28}$$

$$p_R R_{t+1} = (1+g) p_R R_t \tag{29}$$

$$\overline{p}_{fx}BP_{t+1} = (1+g)\overline{p}_{fx}BP_t \tag{30}$$

#### EIA Oil Price Scenarios

The oil price forecast, presented in Table 3, is treated as an exogenous "shock" to Hawaii's economy. The petroleum manufacturing industry is treated as a world price-taker of crude oil. The relative price change of crude oil is treated as a "tax" on the value of imports into the petroleum manufacturing sector, where the revenue accrues to an agent outside of Hawaii's economy, thus creating a wedge between the initial price and the new price of crude oil imports. For this purpose, it is assumed that the entire value of imports into petroleum manufacturing is crude oil.

#### **2.c. Model Results: Baseline Scenarios**

#### Macroeconomic Results

The literature on oil price increases and macroeconomic performance suggest a largely negative relationship. Hamilton (1983) was seminal in linking oil price increases to U.S. recessions, demonstrating that oil price increases have contributed to the timing,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> See Paltsev (2004) for documentation. This assumes an investment on a steady-state.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> In future iterations of H-CGE, this assumption will be checked for sensitivities to model outcomes.

magnitude, and duration of downturns (see also Rasche and Tatom, 1981; Darby, 1982; Burbidge and Harrison, 1984; Olson, 1988; and Perron, 1989). Sudden oil price increases are shown to decrease real output and cause inflation (Barsky and Killian, 2002 and 2004). For further discussion of oil price increases in the context of Hawaii and the larger literature, see Coffman, Konan and Surles (2007) and Coffman (2008).

Figure 6 shows Real Gross State Product for Hawaii's economy to the year 2030 under low, reference and high oil price scenarios. Real Gross State Product is an indicator of overall economic health, where gross state product accounts for the value of total output, net the value of imports, in constant 2005 dollars.



Figure 6. Real Gross State Product

Although petroleum manufacturing accounts for less than 3% of the value of total productivity, it enters either directly or indirectly (through electricity) into the production (and cost function) of every sector of Hawaii's economy. Thus there are real aggregated impacts of oil price increases throughout the economy. As such, the economy clearly performs best under the low oil price scenario. It is important to note, however, that this scenario does not yet include renewable energy technologies and thus provides three baseline scenarios against which to assess Hawaii's clean energy alternatives. Although oil price increases have been linked to recessionary economic conditions in their aftermath, this is nonetheless a fairly "short-term" assessment of oil price increases. The long-term impacts include allowing for price signals to alternative energy types (which will occur through the link with HELM).

There is a general trend of inflation within the economy, though the high oil price scenario exacerbates the trend while the low oil price scenario mutes the trend. Rising oil

prices tend to be inflationary because it increases the marginal cost of sector-level activity through both direct (i.e. gasoline) and indirect (i.e. electricity) means.



**Figure 7. Consumer Price Index** 

Figure 8 shows real average household expenditures, a proxy for resident welfare. Given there are no renewable energies currently modeled in H-CGE (only after iteration with HELM, see Section 4), households are clearly better-off with lower oil prices.



Figure 8. Real Average Household Expenditures (\$ 2005 thousands)

# **Energy Sector Results**

Industry, households and other consumers of electricity react to prices within the model, where the changing price of oil is passed-on to the electricity sector. Consequently, consumers demand more electricity when prices are low, and less electricity when prices are high. Figure 9 shows real electricity sector output under the three oil price scenarios.

Figure 9. Real Electricity Sector Output



The world price of oil is 1.7 times higher under the high oil price scenario in the year 2030 than the reference case, and 4.6 times greater than the low oil price scenario. Whereas crude oil is the largest input into the petroleum manufacturing industry, refined petroleum manufacturing output (a composite good of petroleum types) increases by similar rates. The electric sector purchases nearly 600 million gallons of oil product from the petroleum manufacturing sector (U.S. Energy Information Administration, 2010b). Prices in the electricity sector are 1.3 times greater in the high oil price scenario relative to the reference case, and 1.7 times greater than the low oil price scenario. This shows that, while there is pass-through of prices from the petroleum manufacturing sector to the electricity sector, it is not perfect pass-through (i.e. not one-for-one).

Table 4 shows the growth rate of electricity over time, from period to period while Table 5 shows the change relative to the base year, 2005.

Table 4.	<b>Electricity Sector Demand Projection for HELM: Growth Rate</b>
	Growth Rate (interval to interval)

	Low	Reference	High
2005-2010	1.08	1.08	1.08
2010-2015	1.21	1.09	1.01
2015-2020	1.15	1.11	1.07
2020-2025	1.13	1.12	1.11
2025-2030	1.12	1.11	1.11

	Relative C	hange (base	d on 2005
2005	1.00	1.00	1.00
2010	1.08	1.08	1.08
2015	1.31	1.18	1.09
2020	1.50	1.30	1.17
2025	1.70	1.45	1.30
2030	1.91	1.62	1.45

Table 5.	<b>Electricity Sector Demand Projection for HELM: Relative Change</b>
	Relative Change (based on 2005)

The electricity demand forecast provided in Table 5 is used to solve HELM in terms of provided a constraint on total electricity demand (see Section 4 for further discussion).

# 3. HELM

The Hawaii Electricity Model (HELM) is a detailed "bottom-up" representation of Hawaii's electricity sector. HELM is calibrated to existing electricity units in the year 2005 for Hawaii's four counties: the City & County of Honolulu, Maui County, Kauai County, and Hawaii County. HELM is a linear program model (i.e. a partial equilibrium representation of the electricity sector for the State) that solves for the least cost mix of generation subject to satisfying demand, environmental, and system constraints.

The database for HELM is constructed from several data sources. The various integrated resource plans (IRPs) for the utilities provide extensive detail on the operating characteristics and costs of new generating units. These IRPs, combined with various company filings with state and federal regulators, provide details on the characteristics and operating costs of the current electricity systems.

Being a linear program, HELM's objective function and constraints are linear. Therefore, HELM's objective function (i.e. function that computes the cost of providing electricity) is linear in the model variables, namely capacity and generation. Similarly, all of HELM's constraints are linear in terms of the variables in the model. Thus, the model fails to account for the "lumpiness" of capital investments because capacity can be smoothly added to the system. Because there are in reality not smooth transitions for capital investment and accumulation, five-year time intervals were chosen by the project team for producing model results.

For this first phase, there is a one-way link between H-CGE and HELM (see Section 4 for further detail). H-CGE provides oil prices and electricity demand forecasts for HELM, thus ensuring consistency between the two models in the variables that they have in common. HELM is fully dynamic and therefore solves for the generation mix in all years simultaneously. To be consistent with H-CGE, the model calibrates and solves for the years 2005 to 2030 in five-year intervals.

HELM is solved using GAMS (General Algebraic Modeling System) and a linear programming solver. For more information on this modeling platform, refer to Rosenthal, 2008.

# 3a. Data Inputs

The data for HELM describe Hawaii's existing electricity system, the future availability of new units, and the evolution of the system in terms of electricity demand and energy prices.

# Existing Unit data

Data on existing units, fuel prices, and system characteristics describe today's system. Table 6 includes information used to calibrate and solve HELM in the baseline year. Although data is provided for most necessary fields, verification and refinement of data is still needed.

			Maximum	Minimum		RPS	CO2		
	Canacity	FOM	VOM	HR	Can Fact	Can Fact	derate	Credit	Emissions
	(MW)	(\$/kW)	(\$/MWh)	(MMBtu/MWh)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(g/MMBtu)
HECO	(	(0/10/1)	(0,1,2,1,1)	(	(,,,)	(,,,,	(/0)	(/0)	(grinzbia)
AES	200	50	3	10.0	90%	20%			94
Hon	107.3	100	3	12.3	65%	10%			73
Wajau-ST	379	60	3	10.5	85%	1070			73
Waiau-CT	101.8	60	3	20.0	50%				73
Kahe-ST	620.5	60	3	10.0	85%				73
DG	29.4	25	3	11.0	10%				73
Kalaeloa	208	60	3	9.8	85%				73
Hnower	46	75	3	10.0	85%			100%	40
Tipower	10	10	5	1010	0070			100/0	10
HELCO	-	<u> </u>							
Apollo	20.5	11 1	17		41%		80%	100%	0
DG-HELCO	4	86	0.9	9.8	10%		0070	10070	73
Hamakua	60	53.5	1.2	8.5	85%				73
Hawi	10.6	11 1	1.2	0.5	41%		80%	100%	0
Kanoelehua	49.5	20	5	14.4	85%		0070	10070	73
Kenhole	60.3	37.0	0.8	85	85%				73
Keahole-Solar	0.5	298.9	34	0.0	20%		80%	100%	0
L alamilo	2.2	11 1	17		41%		80%	100%	0
H-Hydro	17.1	0.3	2.4		33%		80%	100%	0
Pupa-MSFO	17.1	164.2	1.7	16.2	85%		0070	10070	73
Puna-Diesel	20.4	104.2	0.1	13.3	85%				73
PGV	30	5.5	22.5	15.5	85%			100%	0
Shinman-MSEO	14.4	158.9	22.5	17.0	85%			10070	73
WHHII	33.7	20.5	0.9	13.3	85%				73
Waimea	83	20.5	0.9	10.8	85%				73
wannea	0.5	20.0	0.2	10.0	0070				15
KIUC									
Kanaja	26.6	0	14	8.2	85%				73
K-Hydro	4.1	0.3	2.4	0.2	67%		60%		0
Kaumakani-Wood	2.8	10.5	11.7	14.6	80%		0070	80%	ů ů
Kaumakani-Oil	0.9	0	4.3	11.4	85%			0070	73
Slr-PioneerHwy	0.2	298.9	34		20%		80%	100%	0
PA1	7.5	25.9	7.8	10	85%		0070	10070	73
PA2	30.4	25.9	7.8	8.6	85%				73
PA3	40.1	25.9	7.8	9.8	85%				73
PA4	3.4	25.9	7.8	10	85%				73
PA-Steam	9	25.9	1.6	14.2	85%		50%		73
	- · · ·								
MECO									
HCS	12	6.1	4.7	15.6	85%			100%	0
Kaheawa	30	11.1	1.7		41%		80%		73
Kahului1	9.5	168	1.1	14.6	85%				73
Kahului2	22.9	159.8	1.1	12.1	85%				73
Maalaea1	12.5	24.4	7.8	9.2	85%				73
Maalaea2	49.2	34.4	7.8	9.2	85%				73
Maalaea3	33	18.9	7.8	9.2	85%				73
Maalaea4	113.6	67.2	0.5	9.2	85%				73
MikiBasin	10.4	25.9	7.8	10.3	85%	60%			73
Palaau	15.2	25.9	7.8	10.1	85%	60%			73
Palaau-Solar	2.2	298.9	34		20%		80%	100%	0

Table 6: Data for Existing Units in Hawaii

Source: (Hawaiian Electric Company, Inc., 2008), (U.S. Energy Information Administration, 2008), (Hawaii Electric Light Company, Inc., 2007), (Maui Electric Company, Ltd., 2007) (Kauai Island Utility Cooperative, 2005)

#### Costs

Several parameters are needed to fully define the existing units' costs and operating characteristics (see Table 6). Existing unit costs include fuel, fixed and variable

operating costs. A unit's fuel costs equal the product of its heat rate (MMBtu/MWh) and fuel price (in \$/MMBtu). For geothermal, solar, and wind units, these costs are zero. Fuel costs exist for all fossil-fired and biofuel-fired units; therefore, a heat rate is specified for all these units.

All units have fixed operating and maintenance (FOM) and variable operating and maintenance (VOM) costs. A unit's annual FOM cost equals its capacity times its' per kW FOM cost. The initial capacity is provided as an input for all existing units. Over time, a unit can retire and hence reduce its capacity to zero. FOM accounts for labor and other costs that are almost always fixed throughout a given year. A unit's annual VOM cost equals its per MWh VOM times its annual generation. VOM accounts for costs that are proportional to usage, such as materials like lubricants.

In the next phase of the analysis, the project team will work to incorporate known future large capital expenditures that would need to occur for a unit to continue to operate. In addition, the next version of HELM will include the capital costs to repower specific oil-fired units and allow existing oil-fired units to burn biofuels.

# **Operating Characteristics**

Costs represent one part of a unit's characteristics; the other part involves operating characteristics such as utilization and emissions. Physically, units are unavailable to operate all hours in a year either because they must undergo routine maintenance or because they require emergency maintenance. To account for this unavailability, a maximum capacity factor is used to define the maximum percentage of the year a unit can operate. Capacity factors are computed from data on historical operations when possible. For units in which there was no readily available information, capacity factor estimates are adopted from similar unit types provided within the IRPs. In particular, the IRPs provided capacity factors for wind units. In addition, a minimum capacity factor is also utilized where appropriate, as some units must operate at a minimum threshold.

For all systems, model constraints require that capacity is meets demand (where the demand forecast is provided by H-CGE). Accounting for capacity, however, involves more than simply adding the capacity of all units. Generating units fall into two categories – firm resources and as-available resources. Firm resources (e.g., oil or biofuels fired combustion turbines) are those on which the system operator can rely for a given amount of power generation; whereas the output from as-availables depends on an unpredictable and intermittent energy source (e.g., wind or solar units).

For firm units, the entire amount of capacity contributes toward the reserve requirement. With as-available energy types, however, only a portion of their capacity contributes. This is due to the uncertainty regarding the generation capacity of intermittent energy sources at any given time. For example, the capacity of wind units is difficult to predict given fluctuations in wind patterns. To account for this, the as-available units are subject to a "derate" when applying their capacity against the reserve margin requirement within HELM. For wind and solar photovoltaic, the "derate" is assumed to be 80%, meaning that only 20% of the units' capacity will count toward the reserve margin requirement.

The sum of all appropriate capacity of currently operating units, or overall capacity, must then meet the reserve margin constraint.

The reserve margin constraint requires this valid capacity to equal or exceed the peak load plus a reserve margin, which is defined to be 15% of the peak load. In reality, the PUC requires utilities to have enough reserve capacity on hand to compensate for the failure of their largest operating unit. This is close to requiring a 15% reserve margin, which is generally consistent with high end of mainland systems. The reserve margin is meant to provide a "cushion," should demand be unexpectedly higher than in the past year or a unit unexpectedly goes off-line.

In the anticipation that HELM may in the future be used to assess existing or possible future environmental policies relating to the electricity sector, the table on existing unit data includes information on each unit's percentage of generation that counts toward existing renewable portfolio standards and carbon dioxide emissions per MMBtu. The emission factors for fossil fuel units are taken from the EIA (EIA, 2010c). Emissions from biofuels are assumed to be 25% of the emissions from oil to account for emissions involved in the procuring of the biofuels.<sup>7</sup>

# New Unit Data

The data fields for new units include all the fields for existing units. In addition, new units are characterized by their cost per kW to build (i.e. construction costs) and the first year the unit could be available. Table 7 reports the values for the new units considered in this exercise.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> The California EPA provides a range of emission numbers for alternate fuels. The model currently assumes the low end of the range since it is assumed that emissions from land-use changes would be small.

					Maximum	Minimum		RPS	CO2	Capital	First
	Capacity	FOM	VOM	HR	Cap Fact	Cap Fact	derate	Credit	Emissions	Cost	Year
	(MW)	(\$/kW)	(\$/MWh)	(MMBtu/MWh)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(g/MMBtu)	(\$/kW)	
HECO											
CIP	110	40	3	9	75%			80%	18	3000	2010
O-Wind	60	30	2		35%		80%	100%	0	2000	2015
O-BioFuel1	500	80	7	10	75%			80%	18	2500	2015
O-BioFuel2	1500	80	7	10	75%			80%	18	4500	2015
O-LFG	50	80	7	10	15%			100%	0	6000	2015
O-NewCoal	200	40	4	9	90%	20%			18	4000	2015
HELCO											
H-Geo1	8	8.3	22.5		85%	0		100%	0	5000	2015
H-Geo2	30	8.3	22.5		85%	0		100%	0	5000	2015
H-Wind	29.9	20.07	1.68		45%		80%	100%	0	3700	2015
H-BioFuel1	35	3.6	8.3	9.8	60%	0		80%	18	2357	2015
H-BioFuel2	35	3.6	8.3	9.8	60%	0	0	80%	18	4500	2015
H-BioFuel3	500	3.6	8.3	9.8	60%	0	0	80%	18	6000	2015
H-MSW	7.3	107.8	21.9	18.8	83%			100%	0	4296	2015
KIUC											
K-Wind	29.9	20.1	1.7	0	45%	0	80%	100%	0	3700	2015
K-BioFuel1	35	3.6	8.3	9.8	60%	0	0	80%	18	2357	2015
K-BioFuel2	35	3.6	8.3	9.8	60%	0	0	80%	18	4500	2015
K-BioFuel3	500	3.6	8.3	9.8	60%	0	0	80%	18	6000	2015
K-MSW	7.3	107.8	21.9	18.8	83%	0	0	100%	0	4296	2015
MECO											
M-Wind	29.9	20.1	1.7	0	40%	0	80%	100%	0	3700	2015
M-BioFuel1	35	3.6	8.3	9.8	60%	0	0	80%	18	2357	2015
M-BioFuel2	35	3.6	8.3	9.8	60%	0	0	80%	18	4500	2015
M-BioFuel3	500	3.6	8.3	9.8	60%	0	0	80%	18	6000	2015
M-MSW	7.3	107.8	21.9	18.8	80%	0	0	100%	0	4296	2015

 Table 7: Data on New Units Available to HELM

Source: (Maui Electric Company, Ltd., 2006), (Kauai Island Utility Cooperative, 2005), (Hawaii Electric Light Company, Inc., 2006), (Hawaiian Electric Company, Inc., 2005), EIA 2010c.

For first phase of this project, the project team focused on calibrating HELM to existing units and thus only a few types of new capacity are considered, such as more wind and solar photovoltaic. In the next phase of the project, however, HELM will represent the current electricity systems better in two ways. First, HELM will include wind and solar production profiles so that the model better captures the variability in the availability of power from these units in terms of time of day and seasonality. Second, the model will force in new units that are currently scheduled to be built by the electric utilities.

# System wide data and forecasts

# Load Data -Energy

Time-of-day demand and seasonality load curves are developed based on historical load data for each hour for the City & County of Honolulu from FERC's Annual Electric Control and Planning Area Report for Oahu in the year 2004. This is the best available data and thus is also used to represent the other counties. The data is used by aggregating the hours into 84 categories based on season, time of day, and day of the week. The categories were selected based on periods with similar demand levels (i.e. power requirements)<sup>8</sup> and one hour on the Monday through Thursday days was selected to capture the daily demand "peak." Total demand represented in each of the 84 categories is then summed up to compute its share of annual generation. These shares are applied to the forecasted annual demand to compute the demand for each of the 84 categories in the future.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> See Appendix II of HELM model listing for details on the 84 load blocks.

H-CGE provides electricity demand forecast indices from 2010 to 2030. These indices are for Hawaii as a whole because H-CGE represents Hawaii at the state level. Therefore, these indices are applied for each of the four systems. Thus, demand for a particular system's load block and year is the product of the demand share for that load block, the 2010 level of electric generation for the specific system, and the index for the year of interest.<sup>9</sup>

# Load Data - Peak

Just as total system load determines the annual energy requirement, peak demand determines the system's capacity requirement. In this phase, it is assumed that each system's peak evolves similar to its annual energy demand. Therefore, the system peak in all future years equals the system peak in 2010 times the appropriate H-CGE electricity demand index.<sup>10</sup>

The reserve margin constraint requires this valid capacity to equal or exceed the peak load plus a reserve margin, which is defined to be 15% of the peak load. The reserve margin is meant to provide a cushion should demand be unexpectedly higher than in the past year or a unit unexpectedly goes off-line.

# Environmental Policies

In this phase, no RPS requirements or emission limits were included because the initial analysis is meant to establish a "no policy" baseline.

# Fuel Prices

HELM relies on fuel price forecasts for coal, petroleum products, and biofuels. For petroleum products, HELM applies the same EIA 2010 forecast as H-CGE. The EIA price crude oil price index is applied to each petroleum product. With an index of one for 2005, all future oil product prices are equal to the 2005 actual product price times the index for the year of interest. Therefore, the prices of all petroleum products move in parallel. For this phase of the analysis, the 2005 price for diesel and fuel oil were taken from HECO's IRP-4 and these were applied to all diesel-fired and fuel oil-fired units, respectively.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> Each system's 2010 annual demand is taken from the system's most recent IRP.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> Each system's 2010 peak is taken from the system's most recent IRP.

	2010	2015	2020	2025	2030
Fossil Diesel					
None	13.7	13.7	13.7	13.7	13.7
Low	19.3	12.5	11.9	11.8	12.0
Base	19.3	24.8	28.1	29.9	31.9
High	19.3	39.2	50.3	53.1	55.5
Fuel Oil					
None	7.4	7.4	7.4	7.4	7.4
Low	10.4	6.8	6.4	6.4	6.5
Base	10.4	13.4	15.2	16.1	17.2
High	10.4	21.1	27.2	28.7	30.0
Coal	3.8	3.8	3.8	3.8	3.8
BDsl	30.4	31.7	23.3	21.7	21.7
СРО	22.6	21.2	15.5	15.5	15.5

 Table 8: Fuel Prices for Electric Generation (2007\$/MMBtu)

Source: (EIA, 2010a), (HECO IRP-4, 2008)

HECO's IRP-4 provides low and high price forecasts for biodiesel and crude palm oil. But only the high-end of the range of the forecast seems to be consistent with the EIA's oil price forecast. Therefore, for this phase of the project, only the high price forecast for these biofuels is used. To be consistent with the EIA, a flat coal price forecast from 2010 onward is assumed.

# 3.b Model Description

HELM is a detailed "bottom-up" representation of Hawaii's electricity sector. HELM is calibrated to existing electricity units in the year 2005 for Hawaii's four counties: the City & County of Honolulu, Maui County, Kauai County, and Hawaii County. HELM is a fully dynamic linear program model (i.e. a partial equilibrium representation of the electricity sector for the State) that solves for the least cost mix of generation to satisfy demand while complying with system operating conditions and environmental policies. The system of constraints and the objective function of HELM are based on Martin Ross's Electric Markets Analysis (EMA) model (Ross, 2008) and ICF's Integrated Planning Model (IPM) (U.S. EPA, 2008).

HELM is modeled in GAMS, which allows it to have an extremely flexible structure so that one can easily expand the model's dimensions such as number of technologies and time periods. The remainder of this section details the model's objective function and constraints.

# **Objective function**

The objective function represents the discounted present value of the costs of generation: fuel costs, fixed and variable operating and maintenance costs, and capital costs of new units.

$$z = e = \sum \left( t, DF(t)^* \left( \sum ((f, g, b), Fuel \operatorname{Pr} ice(f, t)^* \right) \right)$$

$$HeatRate(g, f)^* Gen(g, f, b, t) +$$

$$\sum \left( (f, g, b), VOM(g)^* Gen(g, f, b, t) \right) +$$

$$\sum \left( (f, g), FOM(g)^* Cap(g, f, t) \right) +$$

$$\sum \left( (f, g), CapCost(g)^* Bld(g, f, t) \right) \right)$$
(31)

Where the sets *t*, *g*, *f*, and *b* are defined:

t =time periods, g = generators, f = fuels, and b = load blocks

Where the parameters *DF*, *FuelPrice*, *HeatRate*, *VOM*, *FOM*, and *CapCost* are defined: DF(t) = discount factor (DF(2010) = 1) *FuelPrice*(*f*,*t*) = Price of fuel, *f*, in year t *HeatRate*(*g*,*f*) = heat rate for unit *g* burning fuel *f* (MMBtu/MWh) VOM(g) = Variable operating cost of unit g (\$/MWh) FOM(g) = Fixed operating cost of unit g (\$/KW)CapCost(g) = Capital cost to build a new unit (\$/KW)

Where the variables *Cap, Gen, and Bld* are defined: Gen(g,f,b,t) = Generation of unit g using fuel f in load block b during year t (TWh) Cap(g,f,t) = Capacity of unit g used to burn fuel f (GW)Bld(g,f,t) = Capacity of new unit g built to burn fuel f in year t (GW)

#### **Constraints**

Combined with the objective function, the electric system defined in HELM is governed by the following constraints. To account for each unit's typical operation throughout the year, HELM imposes maximum and minimum capacity utilization constraints on each unit's operation:

$$\sum (f, Gen(g, f, b, t)) = G = MinCapFac(g, b) * BlkHr(b) * \sum (f, Cap(g, f, t))$$
(32)

$$\sum (f, Gen(g, f, b, t)) = L = MaxCapFac(g, b) * BlkHr(b) * \sum (f, Cap(g, f, t))$$
(33)

These constraints are denoted in units of generation. The minimum and maximum utilization rates remain constant over an individual year and from year to year. Because some units can burn multiple fuels, the model must ensure that the sum of the capacities used for each unit does not exceed its total capacity.

$$MaxCap(g,t).Sum(f,Cap(g,f,t)) = L = MaxCap(g,t)$$
(34)
HELM targets an exogenous demand projection and forces total generation to meet demand on each of the four major systems. The demand forecast represents end-use demand while generation measures output of each generator to the grid. Therefore, the demand constraint must account for transmission losses to deliver power from the generators to the end-users.

$$\sum \left( (g, f), Gen(g, f, b, t) \right)^* \left( 1 - TrnLoss(p) \right) = G = \sum \left( c, Demand(c, p, b, t) \right)$$
(35)

Where

p = represents the set of power pools (HECO, HELCO, KUIC, and MECO) TrnLoss(p) = Average transmission by power pool (assumed to be 8%)

For all systems, the Hawaii PUC requires the utility systems to have enough reserve capacity on-line at all times to meet demand and account for any contingencies. HELM's reserve margin constraint represents this requirement. It ensures that the sum of all available capacity times one plus the reserve margin requirement exceeds the peak generation in the year.

$$\sum ((f,g) (mapg2p(g,p)) Cap(g,f,t) * (1 - derate(g))) = G = (1 + \operatorname{Re} serveMargin(p)) * PeakDemand(p,t)$$
(36)

Where

mapg2p(g,p) = mapping of generators to power pools ReserveMargin(p) = reserve margin requirement by power pool (equal to 15%) PeakDemand(p,t) = Peak demand for power pool p in year t (GW)

To account for decisions to build new capacity, retrofit existing units, or retire capacity, HELM has two constraints that track the transition of capacity from one time period to the next. The first constraint initializes the capacity in the first endogenous model year (i.e., 2010); and the second constraint tracks the evolution of the capacity of each unit.

$$Cap(g,f,t) = E = Cap0(g,f) + Bld(g,f,t) \\ CapCost(g) - \operatorname{Re}t(g,f,t) \quad (t=2010)$$
(37)

Where

CapCost(g) = Cost to build capacity for unit g

Ret(g,f,t) = Variable that denotes amount of retirement of unit g using fuel f in year t (GW)

For all model years after the first year:

HELM includes constraints to represent Hawaii's current RPS mandates. This constraint is active only if RPS targets are put in place in the scenario modeled.

$$\sum ((f,g,b,p) (mapg2p(g,p) \text{ and} mapp2rps(p,rps)) RPSCredit(g,f) (g,f) (g,f,b,t)) = G = RPSTgt(rps,t) Sum((f,g,b)Gen(g,f,b,t))$$
(39)

Where

mapp2rps(p,rps) = mapping of power pools to RPS regions (i.e., under the current RPS regulations, HECO, HELCO, and MECO would be mapped to one RPS region representing the HECO utility) RPSCredit(g,f) = Percentage of unit g's generation using fuel f that counts toward the RPS requirement RPSTgt(rps,t) = RPS target in year t for RPS region rps

Last, the HELM model represents emission caps for  $CO_2$ . The model could easily be expanded to account for emissions from other pollutants and hence include emission targets for other pollutants. Currently, HELM's emission constraint accounts only for  $CO_2$  and is active only if an emissions target is specified for  $CO_2$ .

$$\sum ((f,g,b), EmisRate(f) * HeatRate(g,f) * Gen(g,f,b,t)) = L = EmisTgt(t)$$
(40)

Where

EmisRate(f) = Emissions rate for fuel f (metric tons of CO<sub>2</sub>/MMBtu)EmisTgt(t) = Emissions target for CO<sub>2</sub> (millions of metric tons)

# 3.c Results

This section describes the resulting capacity and generation mix for the four electric utilities under the four scenarios: none, low, base, and high. These scenarios differ in the price for petroleum products and electricity demand.

# State level

Figure 10 reports the total generation over time. The growth in generation corresponds to the exogenous demand forecast furnished by the H-CGE model. Generation as with the rest of the economy is inversely related to the change in oil prices: the highest for the low oil price case and lowest for the high oil price case.



Figure 10: Electricity Generation by Utility System (TWh)

The mix of generation used to meet load differs by scenario. Figures 13,15,17, and 19 show how generation changes by scenario for the four systems.

Figure 11 reports the total island wide capacity additions by type of unit. In 2010, the only new addition is the new CIP unit on the HECO system. In 2015, the capacity additions are strikingly different between the high case and the other three cases. Because of the higher oil prices great changes in the generation mix are made. About 0.3 GW and 0.04 GW of diesel-fired and residual fuel oil-fired units are retired, respectively. To compensate for this loss of capacity and more cost-effectively combat the higher fuel prices, about 0.2 GW of coal-fired, 0.3 GW of CPO-fired, 0.1 GW wind power, and 0.03 GW of geothermal generation are installed. For the other cases a mix of about 0.1 GW of coal-fired generation is added.

In 2020, the remaining available coal capacity is added under the base, low, and none cases. In addition, a bit of wind is added in the high case, while CPO-fired generation is added in the low and none cases to accommodate greater increases in demand. From 2025 onward, only CPO-fired generation is added in the high and base cases because as the price of CPO falls over time, this becomes the least cost option. For the low and none cases, the increase in demand is larger so the higher cost tier of CPO-fired generation is required. But before this is added the system, the available MSW and remaining amount of geothermal capacity is added to the generation mix because these units have lower lifecycle costs than the second tier of CPO.



Figure 11: New Capacity Additions to all Systems (GW)

Figure 12: Unit Retirements for Hawaii (GW)



Oahu

Figure 13 and Figure 14 report respectively the generation and capacity by unit type for Oahu. In 2010, the Campbell Industrial Park CT unit is forced on-line and assigned biodiesel as its fuel. However because the price of biodiesel greatly exceeds that of fossil diesel in 2010, this unit never operates. In 2015, HELM allows Oahu to add coal-fired capacity. The amount of capacity added for each case depends on the how demand and oil prices evolve. For the base and high oil price cases, this capacity is added mainly to replace oil-fired generation because it has much lower operating costs. For the high case,

an additional 0.05 GW of new wind is added to lower overall system costs. In the low and none cases, this capacity is added because it is the least cost method of meeting rising demand.

In 2020, new coal-fired capacity reaches its maximum available new capacity of 0.2 GW. Again, for the base and none cases, the capacity is used to meet demand; whereas for the high and base cases, the capacity is partly to meet increased demand and partly to displace oil-fired generation. Because by 2020 oil prices approach that of biodiesel prices in the high case, the HECO system begins generating electricity from the CIP unit in this case.

Moving from 2020 to 2030, units burning CPO are added to the system. These units are added to meet rising demand. They are the only firm capacity available to HELM after the coal unit. Because of the lack of generation options, the CIP unit produces some power in all scenarios. In the high and base case, this unit is cost-effective to operate so it produces power at its maximum permissible output level; whereas for the base, low, and none cases, it is only cost-effective to operate at certain times and hence its utilization is lower than in the high oil price case.



# Figure 13: Generation on the HECO System (TWh)



Figure 14: Capacity on the HECO system by type (GW)

# Hawaii

Figure 15 and Figure 16 report respectively the generation and capacity by unit type for the island of Hawai'i. In 2010, generation is the same across all scenarios, but in anticipation of higher oil prices, some capacity of oil-fired units retire. In 2015, HELM can add new capacity to the HELCO system. For all cases, new geothermal and CPO-fired capacity comes on-line to meet rising demand. The amount of non-oil fired capacity added increases with increasing oil prices.

The capacity profile in each case changes little from 2015 to2025. But the utilization increases for the oil-fired units over time. By 2030, more capacity is required to meet the rising demand in the none and low scenarios. The remaining available geothermal capacity is added until the new geothermal reaches 0.04 MW. Also, an MSW unit is added. To fill the remaining capacity needed in the low oil price case, which has the highest demand, an additional block of CPO-fired capacity is built. The lifecycle cost of this unit is higher than that of the geothermal and MSW unit hence it comes on line last used.



Figure 15: Generation on the HELCO system (TWh)

Figure 16: Capacity on the HELCO system by type (GW)



# Kauai

Figure 17 Figure and Figure 18 report respectively the generation and capacity by unit type for Kauai. The difference in 2010 capacity across scenarios is similar to that of the Big Island with some diesel-fired capacity retiring in anticipation of higher future oil prices in the base and high scenarios. In 2015, new CPO-fired capacity comes on-line to meet rising demand for all cases and to counteract higher oil prices in the high and base cases. In addition, wind powered generation is installed in these cases. The amount of non-oil fired capacity added increases with increasing oil prices.

In each scenario, the capacity remains fairly constant from 2015 to 2025, but the utilization increases for the oil-fired units as increasing their utilization is less expensive than adding new capacity. By 2030, more capacity is required to meet the rising demand in the none and low scenarios. In both cases, the maximum available MSW capacity is added because it has the lowest lifecycle costs of all possible unit additions. Then the next lowest cost option, the second tier of CPO-fired generation, is added to the system. In the low oil price case, which has the highest demand, more generation is added.



Figure 17: Generation on the Kauai System (TWh)

Figure 18: Capacity on the Kauai System (GW)



# Maui

Figure 19 and Figure 20 report respectively the generation and capacity by unit type for Kauai. In 2010, some diesel-fired capacity retires in anticipation of higher future oil prices in the high scenarios. Capacity is not retired in other cases because this existing capacity will provide a more cost-effective source of generation than building more capacity to meet the higher future levels of demand. In 2015, new CPO-fired capacity comes on-line to meet rising demand for all cases and to counteract higher oil prices in the high and base cases. In addition, wind powered generation is installed in the high case. As with all systems, the amount of non-oil fired capacity added increases with increasing oil prices. With the addition of new capacity, more diesel-fired generation is retired in the high case.

In each case, its capacity remains fairly constant from 2015 to 2020 with a slight increase in CPO and wind power under all cases except the high one to meet the rising demand. The utilization of oil-fired units increases also as demand increases. In moving from 2020 to 2025, the generation and capacity patterns are similar to those as in moving from 2015 to 2020 except now there is no change in capacity for the high and base cases.

By 2030, more capacity is required to meet the higher demand in the none and low scenarios. In both cases, the maximum available MSW capacity is added because it has the lowest lifecycle costs of all possible new units. Then the next lowest cost option, the second tier of CPO-fired generation, is added to the system. In low oil price case, which has the highest demand, more CPO-fired generation is added.



# Figure 19: Generation on the Maui System (TWh)



Figure 20: Capacity on the Maui system (GW)

## Caveats

The above model results are sensitive to its data inputs and its structure. Energy price forecasts, demand forecasts, and available technologies for new generation are the most critical data. The value for most of these inputs is preliminary. In this analysis, the price of biofuels remains constant across all oil price forecasts. History suggests that there is a positive correlation between biofuels and fossil fuel prices, which should be incorporated in the next phase of this project. In addition, further research is needed to develop an overall more consistent price relationship between biofuels and fossil fuels. The growth rate for the demand for electricity exceeds that of the state's gross product. This differs from recent history; therefore, the next phase of analysis will need to address this relationship and adjust the demand for electricity downward. Last, the set of new technologies was quite restrictive for this analysis because the goal of this phase was to develop a working model that produces qualitatively suitable results.

In addition to using preliminary data, the HELM model will be enhanced to include the ability for existing oil-fired units to convert to burn biofuels and the ability for eligible units to be repowered. HELM's current formulation of its objective function has a bias against low operating cost units because this function currently fails to account for post model horizon costs. The next version of HELM will better address the trade-off between capital and operating costs by accounting for the full life cycle costs of new units.

# 4. Model Integration

Within energy modeling techniques, there are two basic "types" of models: top-down and bottom-up. Top-down models are often general equilibrium representations of the entire economy, with specific focus on the energy sector. Top-down models are often utilized because they lend themselves to analysis of links of the energy sector to overall economic activity, welfare impacts, and policy. Top-down models do not, however, contain a detailed representation of the energy sector and thus lack the ability to realistically represent various engineering constraints and other discontinuities.

Bottom-up models, on the other hand, are partial equilibrium representations of the energy sector with great detail on energy types, capital costs, operating costs, and environmental factors. Bottom-up models are usually least-cost optimization programs, iterating under various policy scenarios. They lack the ability to provide macroeconomic insights or link to activities of other sectors. Thus they provide only limited insight into welfare effects or indirect/induced impacts of selected policies.

Given the advantages and disadvantages of both modeling platforms, there is a widerange of hybrid models that combine top-down and bottom-up methods (Bohringer and Rutherford, 2007). Most often, models contain a "soft-link,"<sup>11</sup> as is the case with H-CGE and HELM.

Whereas H-CGE is a top-down representation of Hawaii's economy and HELM is a bottom-up representation of its electricity sector, the two models are calibrated with consistent baseline assumptions. Specifically, 1) the models are both calibrated to data in the year 2005, 2) the EIA oil price forecasts (low, reference, high) are used to drive results in each model over five-year time periods, and 3) the electricity demand forecast is determined by H-CGE under the three scenarios and fed into HELM as the quantity constraint for total electricity consumption within Hawaii. Figure 21, H-CGE and HELM Interaction, shows the inputs and outputs of each model.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> While it is possible to entirely integrate top-down and bottom-up models through the reformatting of the bottom-up model as a mixed complementary problem (see Bohringer and Rutherford, 2007, for a full proof), the dimensionality and level of data aggregation of the two models make this a difficult proposition. It was decided early-on by the study team to build two detailed and harmonized models with a "soft-link" as a first exercise, with the possibility of full integration in future work.





The preliminary results presented within this report, for H-CGE in Section 2 and HELM in Section 3, provide three baseline scenarios under low, reference and high oil price cases. The electricity demand forecast was generated by H-CGE (through the growth of the overall economy and subsequent sector-level demand), as shown in Figure 9, and used as the demand constraint for HELM. As policy scenarios are developed within HELM, the outputs will serve to inform changes in the production of the electricity sector within H-CGE and thus providing insight into policy impacts to the macroeconomy, impacted sectors including employment shifts, household welfare, and greenhouse gas emissions.

# 5. Discussion of Preliminary Scenario Selection

While H-CGE and HELM can be further developed to address a wide variety of energy policy scenarios, given the adoption by the Hawaii State Legislature of a more stringent Renewable Portfolio Standard (RPS) targets and the exclusion of energy efficiency from the RPS with the creation of the Energy Efficiency Portfolio Standard (EEPS), the study team proposes to first model the optimal energy technology selection to achieve 40% renewable energy by the year 2030. This work will serve as a baseline by which to later address the EEPS.

The RPS will be modeled within HELM based on the constraints of two regulated electric utilities in the state: one operating solely on the island of Kauai (KIUC) and the other operating on all other islands (HECO, MECO, and HELCO).

To best address the question of the optimal technology mix, by county, to meet the RPS, several uncertainties need to be addressed. Specifically, the scenario will be assessed under 1) the case that an undersea cable is built connecting Maui County to the City & County of Honolulu, and 2) that each island has an isolated grid system. Within the "cable scenario," installation costs will be reflected through amortized "loan payback" by taxpayers. Thus, the macroeconomic impacts of the cable, as well as the renewable

energies that it allows (i.e. greater wind penetration) will be accounted for within the model.

Final scenario selection will be made by August 2010 and a scenario report provided in November 2010.

# References

Armington, P. S. (1969). A Theory of Demand for Products Distinguished by Place of Production. *IMF Staff Papers*, 16, 159-178.

Barsky, R., & Kilian, L. (2002). Do We Really Know that Oil Caused the Great Stagflation? A Monetary Alternative. (B. B. Rogoff, Ed.) *NBER Macroeconomics Annual 2001*, 137-183.

Barsky, R., & Kilian, L. (2004). Oil and the Macroeconomy Since the 1970's. *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, 18 (4), 115-134.

Bohringer, C., & Rutherford, T. (2007). Combining Bottom-Up and Top-Down.

Brooke, T., Kendrick, D., & Meeraus, A. (1988). GAMS: A User's Guide. *The Scientific Press*. Redwood City, CA.

Burbidge, J., & Harrison, A. (1984). Testing for the Effects of Oil-Price Rises Using Vector Autoregressions. *International Economic Review* (25), 459-484.

California State Environmental Protection Agency, Air Resources Board. (2009, December). *California's Low Carbon Fuel Standard: Final Statement Of Reasons*. Retrieved 2010, 22-July from http://www.arb.ca.gov/fuels/lcfs/lcfs.htm

Coffman, M., Surles, T., & Konan, D. (2007, April). *Analysis of the Impact of Petroleum Prices on the State of Hawaii's Economy*. Retrieved 2010, 19-January from https://www.eere-pmc.energy.gov/states/Hawaii Docs/Petroleum Price Impact Assessment.pdf

Darby, M. (1982). The price of oil and world inflation and recession. *American Economic Review* (72), 738-751.

Department of Business, Economic Development & Tourism. (2005). 2005 State Input-Output Study. Retrieved 2010, 22-July from Economic Data: http://hawaii.gov/dbedt/info/economic/data reports/2005 state io/

Department of Business, Economic Development & Tourism. (2009, 9-October). *Section 13 - Income, Expenditures, and Wealth (Gross State Product)*. Retrieved 2010, 22-July from State of Hawaii Data Book Timeseries: http://hawaii.gov/dbedt/info/economic/databook/Data Book time series/

Federal Regulatory Electric Commission. (2004, 31-December). *FERC's Annual Electric Control and Planning Area Report for Oahu For year ending* 2005.

Fripp, M. (2008, Fall). Optimal Investment in Wind and Solar Power in California. *Ph.D. Dissertation*. University of California, Berkeley.

Hamilton, J. (1983). Oil and the macroeconomy since World War II. *The Journal of Political Economy*, 91 (2), 228-248.

Hawaii Electric Light Company, Inc. (2006). *Appendix P IRP-3 Supply-Side Portfolio Update*. Black & Veatch.

Hawaii Electric Light Company, Inc. (2007). Integrated Resource Plan 2007-2026.

Hawaiian Electric Company, Inc. (2005). *Appendix O - IRP-3 Supply-Side Portfolio Update*. Black & Veatch.

Hawaiian Electric Company, Inc. (2008). Integrated Resource Plan 2009-2028.

Kauai Island Utility Cooperative. (2005). Supply Side Options.

Konan, D., & Kim, K. (2005). *Sustainable Tourism in Hawaii: Economic and Environmental Modeling Study*. Retrieved 2010, 22-July from Project Reports: http://hawaii.gov/dbedt/info/visitor-stats/sustainable-tourism-project/reports

Maui Electric Company, Ltd. (2006). *Appendix K - IRP-3 Supply-Side Portfolio Update*. Black & Veatch.

Maui Electric Company, Ltd. (2007). Integrated Resource Plan 2007-2026.

Olson, M. (1988). The productivity Slowdown, the oil shocks, and the real cycle. *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, 2 (4), 43-69.

Paltsev, S. (2004). Moving from Static to Dynamic General Equilibrium Economic Models. *MIT Joint Program on Science and Policy of Global Change*.

Perron, P. (1989). The great crash, the oil price shock, and the unit root hypothesis. *Econometrica*, 57 (6), 1361-1402.

Rasche, R., & Tatom, J. (1981). Energy price shocks, aggregate supply and monetary policy: The theory and the international evidence. *Carnegie-Rochester Conference Series on Public Policy*, *14* (1), 9-93.

Ross, M. (2007). Documentation of the Applied Dynamic Analysis of the Global Economy (ADAGE) Model. *Research Triangle Institute*.

Ross, M. (2008). Documentation of the Electricity Markets Analysis (EMA) Model. *Research Triangle Institute*.

Rutherford, T. (1987). Applied General Equilibrium Modeling. *Ph.D. Thesis*. Stanford University.

Rutherford, T. (1999). Applied General Equilibrium Modeling with MPSGE as a GAMS Subsystem: An Overview of the Modeling Framework and Syntax. *Computational Economics*, *14*, 1-46.

U.S. Energy Information Administration. (1999, 17-October). *Chapter 7 Figures*. Retrieved 2010, 22-July from Energy Efficiency: http://www.eia.doe.gov/emeu/efficiency/eefig\_ch7.htm#figure72

U.S. Energy Information Administration. (2008). *Existing Electric Generating Units in the United States, 2008.* Retrieved July 22, 2010, from Independent Statistics and Analysis: http://www.eia.doe.gov/cneaf/electricity/page/capacity/capacity.html

U.S. Energy Information Administration. (2009, June). *Residential Sector Energy Intensities: 1978-2005*. Retrieved 2010, 22-July from Independent Statistics and Analysis: http://www.eia.doe.gov/emeu/efficiency/recs\_tables\_list.htm

U.S. Energy Information Administration. (2010a). *Annual Energy Outlook 2010*. Retrieved 2010, 20-July from Independent Statistics and Analysis: http://www.eia.doe.gov/oiaf/aeo/index.html

U.S. Energy Information Administration. (2010b, 21-January). *Fossil Fuel Consumption for Electricity Generation*. Retrieved 2010, 21-July from Electric Power Annual 2008 - State Data Tables: http://www.eia.doe.gov/cneaf/electricity/epa/epa\_sprdshts.html

U.S. Energy Information Administration. (2010c). *Voluntary Reporting on Greenhouse Gases Program*. Retrieved 2010, 28-July from Independent Statistics and Analysis: http://www.eia.doe.gov/oiaf/1605/coefficients.html

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (2008), *Documentation of EPA Modeling Applications (V.2.1) Using the Integrated Planning Model: 2: Modeling Framework*, http://www.epa.gov/airmarkets/progsregs/epa-ipm/docs/chapter2-v2\_1-update.pdf

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. (2010, 6-July). *EPA's IPM Base Case 2006* (*v3.0*). Retrieved 2010, 22-July from Clean Air Markets: http://www.epa.gov/airmarkt/progsregs/epa-ipm/BaseCase2006.html

# Appendix I. H-CGE Model Code

# 1. Social Accounting Matrix Aggregation & Data Entry – titled "Bench"

\$TITLE Hawaii Benchmark Data 2005

SET	MASTER List of all labels /
1	Sugarcane
2	Vegetables
3	Macadamia nuts
4	Pineapples
5	Flowers and Nursery Products
6	Other Crops
7	Animal Production
8	Aquaculture
9	Commercial Fishing
1	0 Forestry and Logging
1	1 Support Activities for Agriculture
1	2 Mining
1	3 Single Family Construction
1	4 Construction of Other Buildings
1	5 Heavy and Civil Engineering Construction
1	6 Maintenance & Repairs
1	7 Food Processing
1	8 Beverage Manufacturing
1	9 Apparel and Textile Manufacturing
2	0 Petroleum Manufacturing
2	1 Other Manufacturing
2	2 Air Transportation
2	3 Water Transportation
2	4 Truck and Rail Transportation
2	5 Transit and Ground Passenger Transportation
2	6 Scenic and Support Activities for Transportatin
2	7 Couriers and Messengers
2	8 Warehousing and Storage
2	9 Publishing including internet
3	0 Motion Picture and Source Recording Industries
3	1 Broadcasting
3	2 Telecomunications
3	3 Internet providers, and data processing
3	4 Other Information Services
3	5 Electricity
3	6 Gas Production & Distribution
3	7 Wholesale Trade
3	8 Retail Trade
3	9 Credit Intermediation and Related Activities
4	0 Insurance Carriers and Related Activities
4	1 Other Finance and Insurance
4	2 Owner-Occupied Dwellings
4	3 Real Estate
4	4 Rental & Leasing and Others
4	5 Legal Services
4	6 Architectural and Engineering Services
4	7 Computer Systems Design Services

48	R&D in the Physical, Engineering & Life Sciences
49	Other Professional Services
50	Management of Companies and Enterprises
51	Travel Arrangement and Reservation Services
52	Administrative and Support Services
53	Waste Management and Remediation Services
54	Colleges Universities and Professional Schools
55	Other Educational Services
56	Ambulatory Health Care Services
57	Hospitals
58	Nursing and Residential Care Facilities
59	Social Assistance
60	Arts and Entertainment
61	Accommodation
62	Eating and Drinking
63	Repair and Maintenance
64	Personal and Laundry Services
65	Organizations
66	Federal Government Military
67	Federal Government Civilian
68	State and Local Government
MDM	Imports
WGE	Compensation of employees
PRF	Proprietor's income
ITX	Indirect Business Taxes
RNT	Other capital costs
PCE	Private Consumer Expenditures
VIS	Visitor Expenditures
IVN	Change in Inventories
PIN	Gross Private Investment
SIN	State and Local Government Investment
SLG	State and Local Government Consumption
MIL	Federal Military Consumption
MIN	Federal Military Investment
FED	Federal Civilian Consumption
FIN	Federal Civilian Investment
EXP	Exports
WSJ	Wage and salary job count
PRJ	Proprietor job count

*	Labels	for	aggregated	data
*				

\_\_\_\_\_

*	SUG	Sugarcane
*	VEG	Vegetables
*	MAC	Macadamia nuts
*	PNE	Pineapples
*	FLO	Flowers and Nursery Products
*	CRO	Other Crops
*	ANI	Animal Production
*	AQU	Aquaculture
*	FIS	Commercial Fishing
*	LOG	Forestry and Logging
*	SAG	Support Activities for Agriculture
*	MNG	Mining
*	HOU	Single Family Construction

*	BLD	Construction of Other Buildings
*	CON	Heavy and Civil Engineering Construction
*	MAI	Maintenance & Repairs
*	FOO	Food Processing
*	BEV	Beverage Manufacturing
*	CLO	Apparel and Textile Manufacturing
*	OMN	Other Manufacturing
*	AIR	Air Transportation
*	WAT	Water Transportation
*	TRU	Truck and Rail Transportation
*	BUS	Transit and Ground Passenger Transportation
*	SCE	Scenic and Support Activities for Transportation
*	COLL	Couriers and Messengers
*	STO	Warehousing and Storage
*		Publishing including internet
*	MOV	Motion Disture and Source Departing Industries
*		Providenting
*	DKU	Telecommissions
*	I EL WED	Let an at a marily and the second sec
*	WEB	Other Information Services
*	UIS WITT	Uthe less le Trade
*	WHL	wholesale I rade
*	KIL	Retail I rade
*	CKE	Credit Intermediation and Related Activities
т *	INS	Insurance Carriers and Related Activities
* *	FNI	Other Finance and Insurance
*	DWE	Owner-Occupied Dwellings
*	REA	Real Estate
*	REN	Rental & Leasing and Others
*	LEG	Legal Services
*	ARC	Architectural and Engineering Services
*	COM	Computer Systems Design Services
*	ELS	R&D in the Physical, Engineering & Life Sciences
*	OPS	Other Professional Services
*	MAN	Management of Companies and Enterprises
*	TRV	Travel Arrangement and Reservation Services
*	ADM	Administrative and Support Services
*	WAS	Waste Management and Remediation Services
*	UNI	Colleges Universities and Professional Schools
*	EDU	Other Educational Services
*	HLT	Ambulatory Health Care Services
*	HOS	Hospitals
*	NUR	Nursing and Residential Care Facilities
*	SOC	Social Assistance
*	ART	Arts and Entertainment
*	HOT	Accommodation
*	EAT	Eating and Drinking
*	REP	Repair and Maintenance
*	LAU	Personal and Laundry Services
*	ORG	Organizations
*	GAS	The Gas Company
*	FGO	Federal Government 66,67
*	STG	State and Local Government
	-	

SUG, VEG, MAC, PNE, FLO, CRO, ANI, AQU, FIS, LOG, SAG, MNG, HOU, BLD, CON, MAI, FOO, BEV, CLO, OMN, AIR, WAT, TRU, BUS, SCE, COU, STO, PUB, MOV, BRO, TEL, WEB, OIS, WHL, RTL, CRE, INS, FNI, DWE, REA, REN, LEG, ARC, COM, ELS, OPS, MAN, TRV, ADM, WAS, UNI,

#### EDU,HLT,HOS,NUR,SOC,ART,HOT,EAT,REP,LAU,ORG,GAS,FGO,STG,

ELE,PET/;

SET BIGRC(MASTER) IO Row and Columns / 1\*68, MDM, WGE, PRF, ITX, RNT, PCE, VIS, IVN, PIN, SIN, SLG, MIL, MIN, FED, FIN, EXP, WSJ, PRJ /,

ROWCOL(MASTER) Rows and Columns for aggregated IO / MDM, WGE, PRF, ITX, RNT, PET, ELE, PCE, VIS, PIN, SIN, SLG, FIN, FED, EXP,

SUG, VEG, MAC, PNE, FLO, CRO, ANI, AQU, FIS, LOG, SAG, MNG, HOU, BLD, CON, MAI, FOO, BEV, CLO, OMN, AIR, WAT, TRU, BUS, SCE, COU, STO, PUB, MOV, BRO, TEL, WEB, OIS, WHL, RTL, CRE, INS, FNI, DWE, REA, REN, LEG, ARC, COM, ELS, OPS, MAN, TRV, ADM, WAS, UNI, EDU, HLT, HOS, NUR, SOC, ART, HOT, EAT, REP, LAU, ORG, GAS, FGO, STG,

WSJ, PRJ /,

I(BIGRC) Base Intermediate Input-Output Sectors / 1\*68 /;

SET LBL(ROWCOL) Labels for Aggregated IO sectors /

SUG, VEG, MAC, PNE, FLO, CRO, ANI, AQU, FIS, LOG, SAG, MNG, HOU, BLD, CON, MAI, FOO, BEV, CLO, OMN, AIR, WAT, TRU, BUS, SCE, COU, STO, PUB, MOV, BRO, TEL, WEB, OIS, WHL, RTL, CRE, INS, FNI, DWE, REA, REN, LEG, ARC, COM, ELS, OPS, MAN, TRV, ADM, WAS, UNI, EDU, HLT, HOS, NUR, SOC, ART, HOT, EAT, REP, LAU, ORG, GAS, FGO, STG,

PET, ELE, MDM, WGE, PRF, ITX, RNT,

PCE, VIS, PIN, SIN, SLG, FIN, FED, EXP,

WSJ, PRJ /,

MAP(BIGRC,LBL)/ 1.SUG. 2.VEG. 3.MAC. 4.PNE, 5.FLO, 6.CRO, 7.ANI, 8.AQU, 9.FIS, 10.LOG, 11.SAG, 12.MNG. 13.HOU. 14.BLD. 15.CON. 16.MAI, 17.FOO, 18.BEV. 19.CLO. 21.OMN, 22.AIR, 23.WAT,

24 TDI
24.1KU,
25.BUS,
26.SCE,
27.COU,
28.STO,
29 PUB
30 MOV
21  DPO
31. DKU,
32.1EL,
33.WEB,
34.OIS,
37.WHL,
38.RTL
39 CRE
40 INS
40.11NS,
41.FNI,
(42,43).REA,
44.REN,
45.LEG,
46.ARC.
47 COM
18 EL S
40.DDS
49.0FS,
50.MAN,
51.1RV,
52.ADM,
53.WAS,
54.UNI,
55.EDU.
56 HLT
57 HOS
59 NI ID
50.NOK,
59.50C,
60.ART,
61.HOT,
62.EAT,
63.REP,
64.LAU,
65 ORG
36 GAS
(66 67) ECO
(00,07).100,
68.SIG,
MDM.MDM,
WGE.WGE,
PRF.PRF,
ITX.ITX,
RNT RNT
35 EL E
20 PET
$20.1 \pm 1$ ,
FUE.FUE,
VIS.VIS,
(IVN,PIN).PIN,
SIN.SIN,
SLG.SLG,
(MIN,FIN).FIN,

(MIL,FED).FED, EXP.EXP,

WSJ.WSJ, PRJ.PRJ /;

#### SET ROW(ROWCOL) Sector Rows /

SUG,VEG,MAC,PNE,FLO,CRO,ANI,AQU,FIS,LOG,SAG,MNG,HOU,BLD,CON,MAI,FOO, BEV,CLO,OMN,AIR,WAT,TRU,BUS,SCE,COU,STO,PUB,MOV,BRO,TEL,WEB,OIS,WHL, RTL,CRE,INS,FNI,REA,REN,LEG,ARC,COM,ELS,OPS,MAN,TRV,ADM,WAS,UNI, EDU,HLT,HOS,NUR,SOC,ART,HOT,EAT,REP,LAU,ORG,GAS,FGO,STG /,

COL(ROWCOL) Sector Columns /

SUG, VEG, MAC, PNE, FLO, CRO, ANI, AQU, FIS, LOG, SAG, MNG, HOU, BLD, CON, MAI, FOO, BEV, CLO, OMN, AIR, WAT, TRU, BUS, SCE, COU, STO, PUB, MOV, BRO, TEL, WEB, OIS, WHL, RTL, CRE, INS, FNI, REA, REN, LEG, ARC, COM, ELS, OPS, MAN, TRV, ADM, WAS, UNI, EDU, HLT, HOS, NUR, SOC, ART, HOT, EAT, REP, LAU, ORG, GAS, FGO, STG, ELE, PET /,

#### DEMCOL(ROWCOL) Final Demand Columns /

- PCE Private Consumer Expenditures
- VIS Visitor Expenditures
- PIN Gross Private Investment
- SIN State and Local Government Investment
- SLG State and Local Government Consumption
- FIN Federal Investment
- FED Federal Consumption
- EXP Exports /,

#### VAROW(ROWCOL) Value Added Rows /

- MDM Imports
- WGE Compensation of employees
- PRF Proprietor's income
- ITX Indirect Business Taxes
- RNT Other capital costs /,

ENFD(ROWCOL) Energy sectors / ELE, PET /,

VAEN(ROWCOL) Value Added and Energy / ELE, PET, MDM, WGE, PRF, ITX, RNT /,

JOBROW(ROWCOL) Job count by sector / WSJ Wage salary jobs PRJ Proprietor jobs / ;

#### ALIAS (BIGRC, BB), (ROWCOL, RC), (LBL, LL);

#### PARAMETER

IOBIG(BIGRC, BB) IODATA(ROWCOL,RC) IOSEC(ROW,COL) FDCOL(ROW,DEMCOL) MFD(DEMCOL) ENE(ENFD,DEMCOL) FACTOR(VAEN,COL)

Full IO Table as given (\$million) Aggregated Full IO Input Output Sectors Final Demand Column Imported final demand Energy final demand Factor Demand

JOBCT(JOBROW,COL)

ITX(I)	Adjusted ITX
RNT(I)	Adjusted RNT
MDM(I)	Adjusted MDM ;

\* Import Benchmark Data from Excel file

#### \$LIBINCLUDE XLIMPORT IOBIG BASEIO.XLS B3:CC78

\* Convert the negative taxes (ITX) to zero and attribute difference to other capital costs (RNT)

\* Convert the negative (RNT) to zero and attribute difference to imports (MDM)

```
RNT(I) = IOBIG("RNT",I);

RNT(I)$(IOBIG("ITX",I) LT 0) = (IOBIG("RNT",I)+IOBIG("ITX",I));

* Convert the adjusted RNT back to the IOBIG set

IOBIG("RNT",I) = RNT(I);

ITX(I) = IOBIG("ITX",I);

ITX(I)$(IOBIG("ITX",I) LT 0) = 0;

* Convert the adjusted ITX back to teh IOBIG set

IOBIG("ITX",I) = ITX(I);

MDM(I) = IOBIG("MDM",I);

MDM(I)$(IOBIG("RNT",I) LT 0) = (IOBIG("MDM",I)+IOBIG("RNT",I));

* Convert the adjusted ITX back to teh IOBIG set

IOBIG("MDM",I) = MDM(I);

RNT(I) = IOBIG("RNT",I);

RNT(I) = IOBIG("RNT",I) LT 0) = 0;
```

```
* Convert the adjusted RNT back to the IOBIG set
IOBIG("RNT",I) = RNT(I);
```

\* Aggregate the IO table

IODATA(LBL,LL) = SUM((BIGRC,BB)\$(MAP(BIGRC,LBL)\*MAP(BB,LL)),IOBIG(BIGRC,BB));

FACTOR(VAEN,COL) = IODATA(VAEN,COL);

JOBCT(JOBROW,COL) = IODATA(JOBROW,COL);

FDCOL(ROW,DEMCOL) = IODATA(ROW,DEMCOL);

IOSEC(ROW,COL) = IODATA(ROW,COL);

MFD(DEMCOL) = IODATA("MDM",DEMCOL);

ENE(ENFD,DEMCOL) = IODATA(ENFD,DEMCOL);

<sup>\*</sup> Move "Mining" in Electricity Sector & Gas Sector to Imports

<sup>\*</sup> Move residual of "Mining" to Exports - will get aggregated to

\* other manufacturing in general

\* Problem of National Sharing of IO, likely Coal Sector

FACTOR("MDM","ELE")=FACTOR("MDM","ELE")+IOSEC("MNG","ELE"); FDCOL("MNG","EXP")=FDCOL("MNG","EXP")+IOSEC("MNG","ELE"); IOSEC("MNG","ELE")=0; FACTOR("MDM","GAS")=FACTOR("MDM","GAS")+IOSEC("MNG","GAS"); FDCOL("MNG","EXP")=FDCOL("MNG","EXP")+IOSEC("MNG","GAS"); IOSEC("MNG","GAS")=0; \* Export Aggregated Benchmark Data to Excel file PARAMETER IMRPT(\*, DEMCOL); IMRPT("MDM", DEMCOL) = MFD(DEMCOL); **\$LIBINCLUDE XLEXPORT IOSEC** BASEDATA.XLS B3:BP67 \$LIBINCLUDE XLEXPORT FDCOL BASEDATA.XLS BR3:BZ67 \$LIBINCLUDE XLEXPORT FACTOR BASEDATA.XLS B69:BP76 \$LIBINCLUDE XLEXPORT IMRPT BASEDATA.XLS BR78:BZ80 \$LIBINCLUDE XLEXPORT ENE BASEDATA.XLS BR69:BZ71 **\$LIBINCLUDE XLEXPORT JOBCT** BASEDATA.XLS B78:BP80 \* Scale data to help solver: \*\$ontext IOSEC(ROW,COL) = IOSEC(ROW,COL)/1000; FDCOL(ROW, DEMCOL) = FDCOL(ROW,DEMCOL)/1000; MFD(DEMCOL) = MFD(DEMCOL)/1000; FACTOR(VAEN,COL) = FACTOR(VAEN,COL)/1000; ENE(ENFD, DEMCOL) = ENE(ENFD, DEMCOL)/1000; \*\$offtext

\*50111ex

\* Export Aggregated Benchmark Data in GAMS readable form

FILE KBMK /BENCH.BMK/;

\$BATINCLUDE WRTPRM.INC KBMK IOSEC \$BATINCLUDE WRTPRM.INC KBMK FDCOL \$BATINCLUDE WRTPRM.INC KBMK FACTOR \$BATINCLUDE WRTPRM.INC KBMK JOBCT \$BATINCLUDE WRTPRM.INC KBMK MFD \$BATINCLUDE WRTPRM.INC KBMK ENE

ROW COL ROW DEMCOL VAEN COL JOBROW COL DEMCOL ENFD DEMCOL

## 2. H-CGE Model Code

\$TITLE Hawaii 2005 Dynamic Model

- \* Hawaii General Equilibrium Model (H-GEM)
- \* Developed for the Hawaii Natural Energy Institute 2010-2011
- \* Programmer: Makena Coffman
- \* Date Initiated: January 2010
- \* Based on 2005 Input-Output Table

\_\_\_\_\_

\* Set Default Oil Shock Case (i.e. "none")

\$if not set case \$set case none
Display "Case = %case%";
\*\$exit

\* Define "flag" for endogenous unemployment (UNEMPE=1=flexible unemployment)

SCALAR UNEMPE Flag to allow endogenous unemployment; \*Reason to not always allow endogenous unemployment \*is that it causes relative depreciation - true long-run equilibrium model

\* UNEMPE = 1; UNEMPE = 0;

\* Define "flag" for neutral government expenditures (FIXG=1=neutral)

SCALAR FIXG Flag to fix state and local government expenditures;

\* FIXG = 1; FIXG = 0;

\* Select Solver

\*OPTION MCP = MILES; OPTION MCP = PATH;

\* Define Relevant Sets

SET IND All Sectors including Imports / SUG,VEG,MAC,PNE,FLO,CRO,ANI,AQU,FIS,LOG,SAG,MNG,HOU,BLD,CON,MAI,FOO, BEV,CLO,OMN,AIR,WAT,TRU,BUS,SCE,COU,STO,PUB,MOV,BRO,TEL,WEB,OIS,WHL, RTL,CRE,INS,FNI,REA,REN,LEG,ARC,COM,ELS,OPS,MAN,TRV,ADM,WAS,UNI, EDU,HLT,HOS,NUR,SOC,ART,HOT,EAT,REP,LAU,ORG,GAS,FGO,STG,

PET, ELE,

IMP /,

SEC(IND) All Sectors / SUG, VEG, MAC, PNE, FLO, CRO, ANI, AQU, FIS, LOG, SAG, MNG, HOU, BLD, CON, MAI, FOO, BEV, CLO, OMN, AIR, WAT, TRU, BUS, SCE, COU, STO, PUB, MOV, BRO, TEL, WEB, OIS, WHL, RTL, CRE, INS, FNI, REA, REN, LEG, ARC, COM, ELS, OPS, MAN, TRV, ADM, WAS, UNI, EDU, HLT, HOS, NUR, SOC, ART, HOT, EAT, REP, LAU, ORG, GAS, FGO, STG,

PET, ELE /,

ROW(SEC) Sector Rows / SUG,VEG,MAC,PNE,FLO,CRO,ANI,AQU,FIS,LOG,SAG,MNG,HOU,BLD,CON,MAI,FOO, BEV,CLO,OMN,AIR,WAT,TRU,BUS,SCE,COU,STO,PUB,MOV,BRO,TEL,WEB,OIS,WHL, RTL,CRE,INS,FNI,REA,REN,LEG,ARC,COM,ELS,OPS,MAN,TRV,ADM,WAS,UNI, EDU,HLT,HOS,NUR,SOC,ART,HOT,EAT,REP,LAU,ORG,GAS,FGO,STG /,

SE(SEC) Sectors Energy / ELE,PET /,

ENFD(SEC) Energy Final Demand / ELE, PET /,

- DEMCOL Final Demand Columns /
  - PCE Private Consumer Expenditures
  - VIS Visitor Expenditures
  - PIN Gross Private Investment
  - SIN State and Local Government Investment
  - SLG State and Local Government Consumption
  - FED Federal Civilian Consumption
  - FIN Federal Civilian Investment
  - EXP Exports /,

FGV(DEMCOL) Federal government / FED, FIN /,

SGV(DEMCOL) State government / SIN, SLG /,

- VAEN Value Added & Energy Rows /
  - ELE Electricity
  - PET Petroleum
  - MDM Imports
  - WGE Compensation of employees
  - PRF Proprietor's income
  - ITX Indirect Business Taxes
  - RNT Other capital costs /,

EN(VAEN) Energy Sector Factors / ELE, PET /,

#### VAROW(VAEN) Value Added Rows /

- MDM Imports
- WGE Compensation of employees
- PRF Proprietor's income
- ITX Indirect Business Taxes
- RNT Other capital costs /,

JOBROW Job types /

WSJ Wage and Salary job count

- PRJ Proprietor job count/,
- SC Scenarios / base, 1\*5 / ;
- SET SNE(SEC) Sectors Non-Energy / SUG,VEG,MAC,PNE,FLO,CRO,ANI,AQU,FIS, LOG,SAG,MNG,HOU,BLD,CON,MAI,FOO,BEV,CLO,OMN,AIR,WAT,TRU,BUS,SCE,COU, STO,PUB,MOV,BRO,TEL,WEB,OIS,WHL,RTL,CRE,INS,FNI,REA,REN,LEG,ARC, COM,ELS,OPS,MAN,TRV,ADM,WAS,UNI,EDU,HLT,HOS,NUR,SOC,ART,HOT,EAT,REP, LAU,ORG,GAS,FGO,STG /,

SEL(SEC) Sector Electricity / ELE /,

SPET(SEC) Sector Petroleum / PET /,

AG(SEC) Sectors Agriculture / SUG,VEG,MAC,PNE,FLO,CRO,ANI,AQU, FIS,LOG,SAG /,

MS(SEC) Sectors Manufacturing and Services/MNG,HOU,BLD,CON,MAI,FOO, BEV,CLO,OMN,COU,STO,PUB,MOV,BRO,TEL,WEB,OIS,WHL,RTL,CRE,INS,FNI, REA,REN,LEG,ARC,COM,ELS,OPS,MAN,TRV,ADM,WAS,UNI,EDU,HLT,HOS,NUR,SOC, ART,HOT,EAT,REP,LAU,ORG,GAS,FGO,STG/,

MSPG(SEC) Sectors Manufacturing and Services including PetM/ MNG,HOU, BLD,CON,MAI,FOO,BEV,CLO,OMN,COU,STO,PUB,MOV,BRO,TEL,WEB,OIS,WHL,RTL, CRE,INS,FNI,REA,REN,LEG,ARC,COM,ELS,OPS,MAN,TRV,ADM,WAS,UNI,EDU, HLT,HOS,NUR,SOC,ART,HOT,EAT,REP,LAU,ORG,GAS,FGO,STG,PET /,

PTR(ROW) Purchased Transportation/ AIR, WAT, TRU, BUS, SCE /,

SNT(ROW) Sectors Non-Energy & Non-Transportation & Non-Gas / SUG, VEG, MAC, PNE, FLO, CRO, ANI, AQU, FIS, LOG, SAG, MNG, HOU, BLD, CON, MAI, FOO, BEV, CLO, OMN, COU, STO, PUB, MOV, BRO, TEL, WEB, OIS, WHL, RTL, CRE, INS, FNI, REA, REN, LEG, ARC, COM, ELS, OPS, MAN, TRV, ADM, WAS, UNI, EDU, HLT, HOS, NUR, SOC, ART, HOT, EAT, REP, LAU, ORG, FGO, STG /,

SNP(SEC) Sectors NonPetroleum / SUG, VEG, MAC, PNE, FLO, CRO, ANI, AQU, FIS, LOG, SAG, MNG, HOU, BLD, CON, MAI, FOO, BEV, CLO, OMN, AIR, WAT, TRU, BUS, SCE, COU, STO, PUB, MOV, BRO, TEL, WEB, OIS, WHL, RTL, CRE, INS, FNI, REA, REN, LEG, ARC, COM, ELS, OPS, MAN, TRV, ADM, WAS, UNI, EDU, HLT, HOS, NUR, SOC, ART, HOT, EAT, REP, LAU, ORG, GAS, FGO, STG, ELE /;

ALIAS (SEC,SECC), (AG,AGG),(SNE,SNEE),(SE,SEE),(SEL,SELL),(SPET,SPETT), (MS,MSS), (MSPG,MSPGG), (PTR,PTRR);

\* Import Benchmark Model Data

#### PARAMETER

IOSEC(ROW,SEC) FDCOL(ROW,DEMCOL) FACTOR(VAEN,SEC) JOBCT(JOBROW,SEC) MFD(DEMCOL) ENE(ENFD,DEMCOL)

Intermediate Input-output exc imports (\$m) Final demand exc imports (\$m) Factor demand Job count by sector Imported final demand Energy final demand CRUDEINDEX(SC,\*) Crude Oil Price Index;

\* Import Benchmark Data \$INCLUDE BENCH.BMK

DISPLAY IOSEC;

\_\_\_\_\_

\*=

\*==

\*=

\*—

\*\_

\* Set Benchmark Unemployment Rate

\*Unemployment rate in 2005 was 2.7% (UHERO Data Portal) Scalar U0 / 0.027 /;

\* Import EIA Oil Price Scenarios \*=

### \$LIBINCLUDE XLIMPORT CRUDEINDEX OilSH.XLS A1:E7

Display CRUDEINDEX; \*\$exit

\_\_\_\_\_

\* Calibrate Baseline Parameters

#### PARAMETER

IO0(ROW,SEC)	IO intermediate demand
VAEN0(SEC)	Benchmark value added and energy
VA0(SEC)	Benchmark value added
EN0(SEC)	Benchmark energy
LD0(SEC)	Benchmark labor demand
PR0(SEC)	Benchmark proprietor's income
INC0(SEC)	Benchmark Income
IM0(SEC)	Benchmark intermediate import demand aggregate
GT0(SEC)	Benchmark gross excise tax (indirect business tax)
KD0(SEC)	Benchmark other capital costs
LO	Benchmark labor stock
R0	Benchmark proprietor stock
K0	Benchmark capital
M0	Benchmark imports
HD0(IND)	Benchmark household expenditures
VD0(IND)	Benchmark visitor expenditures
PI0(IND)	Benchmark private investment and inventories
EX0(IND)	Benchmark export demand
TY0(SEC)	Benchmark goods and services tax
PY0(SEC)	Benchmark output net producer price
YFD0(SEC)	Benchmark total domestic demand
YD0(SEC)	Benchmark final domestic demand
DOMY0(SEC)	Benchmark supply domestic use
CD0(DEMCOL)	Benchmark final demand by agent
GTOT	Benchmark gov expenditures
FG0	Federal Government Expenditures incl investment

SG0	State and Local gov expenditures incl investment
GDEF	Benchmark gov deficit
INVTOT	Benchmark investment expenditures
CV0	Benchmark visitor expenditures
C0	Benchmark PCE
BOP0	Benchmark BOP deficit ;

#### \* Production

```
IO0(ROW,SEC) = IOSEC(ROW,SEC) ;

LD0(SEC) = FACTOR("WGE",SEC) ;

PR0(SEC) = FACTOR("PRF",SEC) ;

INC0(SEC) = PR0(SEC)+LD0(SEC) ;

IM0(SEC) = FACTOR("MDM",SEC) ;

GT0(SEC) = FACTOR("ITX",SEC) ;

GT0T = SUM(SEC,GT0(SEC)) ;

KD0(SEC) = FACTOR("RNT",SEC) ;

VA0(SEC) = SUM(VAROW, FACTOR(VAROW,SEC)) - IM0(SEC) - GT0(SEC) ;

VAEN0(SEC) = VA0(SEC) + SUM(EN,FACTOR(EN,SEC)) ;

EN0(SEC) = VAEN0(SEC) - VA0(SEC) ;
```

\* Value added

L0 = SUM(SEC, LD0(SEC)); R0 = SUM(SEC, PR0(SEC)); K0 = SUM(SEC, KD0(SEC));

#### \* Imports

M0 = SUM(SEC, IM0(SEC)) + SUM(DEMCOL, MFD(DEMCOL));

\* Final Demand

\* Households HD0(ROW) = FDCOL(ROW,"PCE"); HD0("IMP")= MFD("PCE"); HD0(ENFD) = ENE(ENFD,"PCE");

```
C0 = SUM(IND,HD0(IND));
```

\* Visitors

VD0(ROW) = FDCOL(ROW,"VIS"); VD0("IMP") = MFD("VIS"); VD0(ENFD) = ENE(ENFD,"VIS");

CV0 = SUM(IND, VD0(IND));

\* Exports

EX0(ROW) = FDCOL(ROW,"EXP"); EX0("IMP") = MFD("EXP"); EX0(ENFD) = ENE(ENFD,"EXP");

\* Private Investment PI0(ROW) = FDCOL(ROW,"PIN"); PI0("IMP") = MFD("PIN"); PI0(ENFD) = ENE(ENFD,"PIN");

INVTOT = SUM(ROW,PI0(ROW))+PI0("IMP")+SUM(ENFD,PI0(ENFD));

\* Total Output

YFD0(ROW) = SUM(DEMCOL, FDCOL(ROW,DEMCOL)); YFD0(ENFD) = SUM(DEMCOL, ENE(ENFD,DEMCOL)); YD0(ROW) = SUM(SEC,IOSEC(ROW,SEC)) + YFD0(ROW); YD0("ELE") = SUM(SEC,FACTOR("ELE",SEC)) + YFD0("ELE"); YD0("PET") = SUM(SEC,FACTOR("PET",SEC)) + YFD0("PET");

\* Final Demand by Agent

CD0(DEMCOL) = SUM(ROW,FDCOL(ROW,DEMCOL)) + MFD(DEMCOL) + SUM(ENFD,ENE(ENFD,DEMCOL));

\* Government

FG0 = SUM(FGV, CD0(FGV)); SG0 = SUM(SGV, CD0(SGV));

- \* Government Deficit & Balance of Payments GDEF = SUM(SEC, GT0(SEC)) - SG0; DOMY0(SEC) = YD0(SEC) - EX0(SEC); BOP0 = M0 - SUM(IND, EX0(IND)) - CV0 - FG0;
- \* Goods and services tax TY0(SEC) = GT0(SEC)/YD0(SEC) ; PY0(SEC) = 1 - TY0(SEC) ;

Parameter

JOBS0 Total number of jobs in benchmark EMP0 Total number of wage jobs in benchmark OWN0 Total number of proprietor jobs in benchmark ;

EMP0 = SUM(SEC,JOBCT("WSJ",SEC)); OWN0 = SUM(SEC,JOBCT("PRJ",SEC)); JOBS0 = EMP0 + OWN0;

Display GDEF, DOMY0, BOP0, VAEN0, EN0, C0, JOBS0, EMP0, OWN0, GT0, GTOT, SG0, TY0 ;

\*\$exit

\* Define Recursive Dynamic Parameters

\* Define quantities to capital market for savings and investment Parameter DEP0 Depreciation Rate of Capital

arameter DEP0	Depreciation Rate of Capital
LBaseYr	Initial Wages
PRBaseYr	Initial Proprietor Income
BOPBaseYr	Initial Balance of Payments
VisBaseYr	Initial Visitor Expenditures
VisConsBaseYr(IND)	Initial Visitor Consumption
EmpBaseYr	Initial Workforce
OwnBaseYr	Initial Proprietors;

Scalar

RET0 Return on 5-Year Investment / 0.2763 /, \*Ad hoc assumption based on 5% annual rate of return GRW0 Steady-State 5-Year Growth Rate / 0.1163 / ; \*Based on 30-year average (1968 to 2008) DEP0 = (GRW0\*(SUM(SEC,KD0(SEC)))-RET0\*INVTOT)/(INVTOT-SUM(SEC,KD0(SEC))); \*Based on Paltsev (1999)

Display DEP0;

LBaseYr = L0; PRBaseYr = R0; BOPBaseYr = BOP0; VisBaseYr = CV0; VisConsBaseYr(IND) = VD0(IND); EmpBaseYr = EMP0; OwnBaseYr = OWN0;

Parameter

ks\_n New vintage capital ks\_s Sunk capital (flexible coefficient);

\*Define Growth Rate of Capital (New and Old)

 $ks_n = k0 * (dep0 + grw0) / (1 + grw0);$  $ks_s = k0 - ks_n;$ 

\* Define Oil Shock Placeholder in MPSGE code

#### PARAMETER

IMSHK Petroleum Import Price Shock ;

IMSHK = 1;

\* Define Exogenous Electricity Efficiency Parameter in MPSGE code

#### PARAMETER

\*

EFFP Exogenous Efficiency Parameter (i.e. federal programs or tech improvement);

EFFP = 1;

\* Model Definition in MPSGE Vector Syntax

#### **\$ONTEXT**

\*-

\$MODEL:ENERGY

#### \$ECHOP:TRUE

**\$SECTORS:** 

! Domestic Output
! Armington Nest for Imports and Domestics
! Energy and Value Added Nest

ENER(SNP) ! Energy Nest between Petroleum and Electricity VA(SEC) ! Value added INCOME(SEC) ! Income Nest M ! Imports

#### \*Final Demand

X(SEC)\$EX0(SEC) !	Exports
--------------------	---------

- C ! PCE
- CC ! PCE Composite Domestic & Imports
- FG ! Federal Government
- G ! State and Local Government
- INVT ! Investment expenditures
- V ! Visitor expenditures
- KN ! New vintage capital

OC

- TC
- HEN

#### **\$COMMODITIES:**

PD(SEC)	! Price of domestically consumed goods
PI(SEC)	! Intermediate Inputs & Imports
PE(SEC)\$E	X0(SEC) ! Price of exports
PEVA(SNP	) ! Price of Energy and Value Added Nest
PEN(SNP)	! Price of Energy Nest
PVA(SEC)	! Price of Value Added Nest
PM	! Price of Imports
PINC	! Composite Price of Income
PL	Price of Sector Mobile Labor
PPR	! Price of Proprietor Labor
PMK	! Price of Sector Mobile Capital (Return to capital)
RKN	! Return to new-vintage capital
PC	! Price of Household Consumption
PCC	! Price of Household Consumpion of Composite Domstic-Imported Good
PG	! Price of State and Local Government
PFX	! Exchange Rate
PINV	! Price of Investment
PV	! Price of Visitor Consumption
PFC	! Price of Federal Government Consumption

- POC
- PTC
- PHEN

### **\$CONSUMERS:**

! Representative Agent
! State Government
! Visitors
! Federal Government Consumption
! Outside agent

### \$AUXILIARY:

- UNEMP\$UNEMPE ! Endogenous Unemployment
- \* TAU\_LUMP\$FIXG ! Lump sum tax replacement
- \* TAU\_LO\$FIXG ! Lump sum tax replacement

\* Production of Sectors Not Energy (SNE)

\$PROD:Y(SNE) s:0 t:5 Q:DOMY0(SNE) P:PY0(SNE) A:GOV T:TY0(SNE) O:PD(SNE) O:PE(SNE)\$EX0(SNE) Q:EX0(SNE) P:PY0(SNE) A:GOV T:TY0(SNE) \* Intermediate Inputs, Value Added & Energy Q:(SUM(ROW,IO0(ROW,SNE)) +IM0(SNE)) I:PI(SNE) I:PEVA(SNE) Q:VAEN0(SNE) \* Armington Nest for Production \$PROD:AR(SNE) s:1 a:0 O:(SUM(ROW, IO0(ROW, SNE)) + IM0(SNE)) O:PI(SNE) I:PD(ROW) Q:(IO0(ROW,SNE)) a: I:PM O:IM0(SNE) \* Energy and Value Added \$PROD:EVA(SNE) s:0.5 O:PEVA(SNE) Q:VAEN0(SNE) I:PVA(SNE) Q:VA0(SNE) I:PEN(SNE) Q:(VAEN0(SNE)-VA0(SNE)) \* Value Added Nest \$PROD:VA(SNE) s:1 O:PVA(SNE) Q:VA0(SNE) Q:(LD0(SNE)+PR0(SNE)) I:PINC I:PMK Q:KD0(SNE) \* Income Nest \$PROD:INCOME(SNE) s:0 Q:(LD0(SNE)+PR0(SNE)) O:PINC I:PL Q:LD0(SNE) I:PPR Q:PR0(SNE) \* Energy Nest \$PROD:ENER(SNE) s:0.2 Q:(VAEN0(SNE)-VA0(SNE)) O:PEN(SNE) I:PD("ELE") O:FACTOR("ELE".SNE) I:PD("PET") Q:FACTOR("PET",SNE) \* Production of Petroleum Manufacturing (SPET)

\$PROD:Y(SPET) s:0 t:5 O:PD(SPET) Q:DOMY0(SPET) P:PY0(SPET) A:GOV T:TY0(SPET) O:PE(SPET)\$EX0(SPET) Q:EX0(SPET) P:PY0(SPET) A:GOV T:TY0(SPET) \* Intermediate Inputs, Value Added & Energy I:PI(SPET) Q:(SUM(ROW,IO0(ROW,SPET)) +IM0(SPET)) I:PVA(SPET) Q:VA0(SPET) I:PD("ELE") Q:FACTOR("ELE",SPET) I:PD("PET") Q:FACTOR("PET",SPET)

\* Armington Nest for Production \$PROD:AR(SPET) s:0 a:0

O:PI(SPET) Q:(SUM(ROW, IO0(ROW,SPET)) + IM0(SPET)) I:PD(ROW) O:(IO0(ROW,SPET)) a: I:PM Q:IM0(SPET) A:SUNK T:(IMSHK-1) \* Value Added Nest \$PROD:VA(SPET) s:1 O:PVA(SPET) Q:VA0(SPET) I:PINC Q:(LD0(SPET)+PR0(SPET)) I:PMK Q:KD0(SPET) \* Income Nest \$PROD:INCOME(SPET) s:0 O:(LD0(SPET)+PR0(SPET)) O:PINC I:PL O:LD0(SPET) I:PPR Q:PR0(SPET) \*-\* Production of Electricity (SEL) \$PROD:Y(SEL) s:0 t:5 Q:DOMY0(SEL) P:PY0(SEL) A:GOV T:TY0(SEL) A:SUNK T:(1-EFFP) O:PD(SEL) O:PE(SEL)\$EX0(SEL) Q:EX0(SEL) P:PY0(SEL) A:GOV T:TY0(SEL) \* Intermediate Inputs, Value Added & Energy Q:(SUM(ROW,IO0(ROW,SEL)) +IM0(SEL)) I:PI(SEL) I:PEVA(SEL) O:VAEN0(SEL) \* Armington Nest for Production \$PROD:AR(SEL) s:0.3 a:0 O:PI(SEL) Q:(SUM(ROW, IO0(ROW,SEL)) + IM0(SEL)) I:PD(ROW) Q:(IO0(ROW,SEL)) a: I:PM Q:IM0(SEL) \* Energy and Value Added \$PROD:EVA(SEL) s:0.2 O:PEVA(SEL) Q:VAEN0(SEL) I:PVA(SEL) Q:VA0(SEL) I:PEN(SEL) Q:(VAEN0(SEL)-VA0(SEL)) \* Value Added Nest \$PROD:VA(SEL) s:1 O:PVA(SEL) Q:VA0(SEL) I:PINC Q:(LD0(SEL)+PR0(SEL)) I:PMK Q:KD0(SEL) \* Income Nest \$PROD:INCOME(SEL) s:0 O:PINC Q:(LD0(SEL)+PR0(SEL)) I:PL Q:LD0(SEL) I:PPR Q:PR0(SEL) \* Energy Nest \$PROD:ENER(SEL) s:0 O:PEN(SEL) Q:(VAEN0(SEL)-VA0(SEL)) I:PD("ELE") Q:FACTOR("ELE",SEL) I:PD("PET") Q:FACTOR("PET",SEL)

\* Imports & Exports \$PROD:M O:PM Q:(M0 - MFD("EXP")) I:PFX Q:(M0 - MFD("EXP")) \$PROD:X(SEC)\$EX0(SEC) O:PFX Q:EX0(SEC) I:PE(SEC) Q:EX0(SEC) \* Final Demand \* Households \$PROD:C s:1 O:PC O:C0 I:PTC Q:(SUM(PTR,FDCOL(PTR,"PCE")) + ENE("PET","PCE")) Q:(SUM(SNT,FDCOL(SNT,"PCE"))+MFD("PCE")+ENE("ELE","PCE")+FDCOL("GAS","PCE")) I:POC \$PROD:OC s:0.25 Q:(SUM(SNT,FDCOL(SNT,"PCE"))+MFD("PCE")+ENE("ELE","PCE")+FDCOL("GAS","PCE")) O:POC Q:(SUM(SNT,FDCOL(SNT,"PCE"))+MFD("PCE")) I:PCC Q:(ENE("ELE", "PCE")+FDCOL("GAS", "PCE")) I:PHEN \$PROD:HEN s:0.1 **O:PHEN** Q:(ENE("ELE","PCE")+FDCOL("GAS","PCE")) I:PD("ELE") Q:ENE("ELE","PCE") I:PD("GAS") Q:FDCOL("GAS","PCE") \$PROD:CC s:1 a:1 Q:(SUM(SNT,FDCOL(SNT,"PCE"))+MFD("PCE")) O:PCC I:PD(SNT) Q:FDCOL(SNT,"PCE") a: Q:MFD("PCE") I:PM \$PROD:TC s:0.1 a:1 O:PTC Q:(SUM(PTR,FDCOL(PTR,"PCE")) + ENE("PET","PCE")) I:PD(PTR) Q:FDCOL(PTR,"PCE") a: I:PD("PET") Q:ENE("PET", "PCE") \* Visitors \$PROD:V s:1 a:1 O:PV O:CV0 I:PD(ROW) O:VD0(ROW) a: I:PD(ENFD) Q:VD0(ENFD) I:PM Q:VD0("IMP") \* Federal Government expenditures treated as exogenous \$PROD:FG s:0 a:0 O:PFC O:FG0 Q:FDCOL(ROW,FGV) a: I:PD(ROW)#(FGV) Q:ENE(ENFD,FGV) I:PD(ENFD)#(FGV) I:PM#(FGV) Q:MFD(FGV)

\* State Government expenditures Leontief \$PROD:G s:0 a:0

OD.O 5.0 u.0	
O:PG	Q:SG0
I:PD(ROW)#(SGV)	Q:FDCOL(ROW,SGV) a:
I:PD(ENFD)#(SGV)	Q:ENE(ENFD,SGV)
I:PM#(SGV)	Q:MFD(SGV)

\* Investment, Cobb-Douglas \*\_\_\_\_

\$PROD:INVT s:1 a:1 Q:INVTOT O:PINV I:PD(ROW) Q:PI0(ROW) a: Q:PI0(ENFD) a: I:PD(ENFD) Q:PIO("IMP") I:PM

#### \* Endowments

\*

\*=

\*—

#### **\$DEMAND:VIS**

	D:PV	Q:CV0	
	E:PFX	O:CV0	
		C C	
\$DE	MAND:GOV		
	D:PG	Q:SG0	
	E:PG	Q:(-GDEF)	)
*	E:PC	Q:1	R:TAU LUMP\$FIXG
*	E:PC	Q:-1	R:TAU_LO\$FIXG
¢DD	0D.1		
3PK	JD:kn		
	O:PMK	Q:1	
	I:RKN	Q:1	
		-	

## **\$DEMAND:FC**

D:PFC	Q:FG0
E:PFX	Q:FG0

#### **\$DEMAND:SUNK**

D:PFX	Q:1
E:PFX	Q:1

## \$DEMAND:RA

D:PC	Q:C0	
D:PINV	Q:INVTOT	
E:PL\$(NOT	UNEMPE) Q:(L0)\$(NOT UNEMPE)	
E:PL\$UNEM	4PE Q:(L0/(1-U0))\$UNEMPE	
E:PL\$UNEM	4PE Q:(-L0/(1-U0))\$UNEMPE	R:UNEMP\$UNEMPE
E:PPR	Q:R0	
E:PFX	Q:BOP0	
E:PMK	Q:ks_s	
E:RKN	Q:ks_n	
E:PG	Q:(GDEF)	
\*=

\$REPORT:						
*Production V·DOM(SEC)	O'PD(SEC)	PROD'Y(SEC)				
V:EXP(SEC)	O:PE(SEC)	PROD:Y(SEC)				
V:ENN(SEC)	O:PEN(SEC)	PROD:ENER(SEC)				
V:ELL(SEC)	I:PD("ELE")	PROD:ENER(SEC)				
V:PETM(SEC)	I:PD("PET")	PROD:ENER(SEC)				
V:VAL(SEC)	O:PVA(SEC)	PROD:VA(SEC)				
V:DL(SEC)	I:PL	PROD:INCOME(SEC)				
V:DP(SEC)	I:PPR	PROD:INCOME(SEC)				
V:DK(SEC)	I:PMK	PROD:VA(SEC)				
V:IOD(ROW,SE	C) I:PI(SI	EC) PROD:Y(SEC)				
*Households and Visit	ors					
V:CDD(ENFD)	I:PD(ENFD)	PROD:C				
V:CCD(ROW)	I:PD(ROW)	PROD:CC				
V:CMD	I:PM	PROD:CC				
V:VDD(SEC)	I:PD(SEC)	PROD:V				
V:VMD	I:PM	PROD:V				
*Welfare of Agents						
V:WRA	W:RA					
V:WG W:	GOV					
V:WV W:	VIS					
*Government						
V:GDD(SEC)	I:PD(SEC)	PROD:G				
V:FGDD(SEC)	I:PD(SEC)	PROD:FG				
V:MGDD(SEC)	I:PD(SEC)	PROD:MG				
*Investment						
V:INDD(SEC)	I:PD(SEC)	PROD:INVT				
V:I	D:PINV	DEMAND:RA				
*Exports						
V:EXD(SEC)	I:PE(SEC)	PROD:X(SEC)				
*						

\* Auxiliary constraints determine replacement tax rates \*=

\*\$CONSTRAINT:TAU\_LUMP\$FIXG \* G =E= 1;

\*\$CONSTRAINT:TAU\_LO\$FIXG \* 1 =E= G;

**\$CONSTRAINT:UNEMP\$UNEMPE** \* Nominal Wage cannot decline

\* PL =G= 1; \* Real Wage cannot decline PL =G= PC;

\$OFFTEXT \$SYSINCLUDE MPSGESET ENERGY

\* Solve Benchmark Case - Replication check

ENERGY.ITERLIM = 0; \$INCLUDE ENERGY.GEN

\*\_\_\_

\* Fix exchange rate as the numeraire PFX.FX = 1;

\* Set initial values PD.L(SEC) = 1; PI.L(SEC) = 1;PE.L(SEC) = 1; PEVA.L(SNP) = 1; PEN.L(SNP) = 1; PVA.L(SEC) = 1; PM.L = 1;PL.L = 1;PPR.L = 1; PMK.L = 1; RKN.L = 1; PC.L = 1;PG.L = 1;PFX.L = 1;PINV.L = 1; PV.L = 1;PFC.L = 1;UNEMP.L\$UNEMPE = U0;  $kn.l = ks_n;$ \*TAU\_LUMP.LO\$FIXG = 0;\*TAU\_LUMP.L\$FIXG = 1; \*TAU\_LO.LO\$FIXG = 0;\*TAU\_LO.L\$FIXG = 1;\* Check homogeneity \*PFX.FX = 2;SOLVE ENERGY USING MCP; Display pd.l, pi.l;

\*\$ontext \* Check whether Walras Law holds:

scalar walras;

walras = sum(sec,DL.L(sec)) - sum(sec,LD0(sec));

display walras ; \*\$offtext

Display UNEMP.L;

\*\$exit

\*==

\* Define Report Parameters \*\_\_\_\_\_

### PARAMETER

DynDat(*,SC)	Dynamics - labor capital BOP
PEX(SEC,SC)	Price export
PDM(SEC,SC)	Price domestic output
PVD(SEC,SC)	Cost of value added
PRK(SEC,SC)	Nominal cost of capital
XX(SEC,SC)	Sector exports
DD(SEC,SC)	Sector domestic output
YY(SEC,SC)	Sector output value
VV(SEC,SC)	Sector value added
II(SEC,SC)	Sector industry demand
VS(IND,SC)	Visitor demand at current prices
	1
HH(IND,SC)	Household demand at current prices
IPCT(SEC,SC)	Industry demand percentage change
HPCT(IND,SC)	Household expenditures percentage change
VPCT(IND,SC)	Visitor expenditures percentage change
XPCT(SEC,SC)	Exports percentage change
DPCT(SEC,SC)	Domestic output percent change
LABOR(SEC,SC)	Sector Labor bill
LABQT(SEC,SC)	Labor quantity
LCTAG(SEC,SC)	Wage and Salary Job count
PCTAG(SEC,SC)	Proprietor income job count
PROP(SEC,SC)	Proprietor income
PROQT(SEC,SC)	Proprietor quantity
PRQPC(SEC,SC)	Proprietor quantity percentage change
LABPC(SEC,SC)	Sector Labor percentage chg
OUTPCT(SEC,SC)	Sector Output percentage chg
OUTLVL(SEC,SC)	Sector Output value
VWEL(SC)	Visitor welfare
VW(SC)	Aggregate Visitor welfare
PVE(SC)	Aggregate visitor consumption bundle
GWEL(SC)	Gov welfare (should be unchanged)
DVL(SC)	Domestic Output value
XVL(SC)	Export value
VVL(SC)	Total output dom and exported
YVL(SC)	Domestic output value
DQ(SC)	Domestic output quantity
XQ(SC)	Export quantity
YQ(SC)	Total production quantity
DQTY(SEC,SC)	Domestic output quantity

XQTY(SEC,SC)	Export quantity
YQTY(SEC,SC)	Total production quantity
IQTY(SEC,SC)	Industry demand quantity
VSQTY(IND,SC)	Visitor demand prices constant
VSTQTY(IND,SC)	Visitor demand by types prices constant
VQ(IND,SC)	
VSQT(SEC,SC)	Visitor demand by sector 2005 prices
HQTY(IND,SC)	Household demand prices constant
VQTY(SEC,SC)	Value added prices constant
YQPCT(SEC,SC)	Output percentage change prices constant
DQPCT(SEC,SC)	Domestic output percent change prices constant
XQPCT(SEC,SC)	Exports percent change prices constant
IQPCT(SEC,SC)	Industry demand percent change prices constant
HQPCT(IND,SC)	Household demand percent change prices constant
VSQPCT(IND,SC)	Visitor demand percentage change prices constant
IOQPCT(ROW,SEC,SC)	IO demand percent change price constant
SUMMARY(*,SC)	Macro summary % chg since 1997
MACRO(*,SC)	Macro summary levels
MACROPCT(*,SC)	Macro summary percent chg since 1997 bmk
JOBS(SC)	Total number of jobs
IIODD(ROW,SEC,SC)	Interindustry Dd current prices
IODD(ROW,SEC,SC)	Interindustry Dd constant prices
GG(SEC,SC)	State and Local Government Dd current prices
FGG(SEC,SC)	Federal Government Dd current prices
INN(SEC,SC)	Investment and inventories Dd current prices
GQTY(SEC,SC)	State and Local Government Dd constant prices
FGQTY(SEC,SC)	Federal Government Dd constant prices
INQTY(SEC,SC)	Investment and inventories Dd constant prices
GET(SC)	General Excise tax collections
GETS(SC,SEC)	General Excise tax collections
RealOut(SEC,SC)	Real Output
NomOut(SEC,SC)	Nominal Output
EffPar(SC)	Efficiency Parameter;

EffPar(SC) = (1-0.0401);

#### \*\_\_\_\_

\* Begin Loop for Dynamics \*\_\_\_\_\_

Loop(SC,

IMSHK = CRUDEINDEX(SC,"%case%"); \* ); DISPLAY IMSHK ; \*\$exit

EFFP = EffPar(SC);

\*EFFP = (1-0.0401);

\*Source:www.eia.doe.gov/emeu/efficiency/recs\_tables\_list.htm

\*number calculated from residential energy intensities, 1978:2005

\*provided in 4-year intervals, calculated to be 0.0079 for one year, leads to

\*estimate of 0.0401 for 5-years

\*Alternative Source: www.eia.doe.gov/emeu/efficiency/eefig\_ch7.htm#figure72 \*shows a 1% yearly gain

```
* Fix exchange rate as numeraire
PFX.FX = 1 ;
```

\* Set initial values PD.L(SEC) = 1; PI.L(SEC) = 1; PE.L(SEC) = 1; PEVA.L(SNP) = 1;PEN.L(SNP) = 1; PVA.L(SEC) = 1; PM.L = 1;PL.L = 1; PPR.L = 1; PMK.L = 1; RKNL = 1; PC.L = 1; PG.L = 1;PFX.L = 1PINV.L = 1; PV.L = 1;PFC.L = 1; UNEMP.L\$UNEMPE = U0 ; kn.l = ks n;

\* Run simulation ENERGY.ITERLIM = 3000; \$INCLUDE ENERGY.GEN SOLVE ENERGY USING MCP;

\*\_\_\_\_

\*-

\* Report simulation results

\* Report only solved cases: IF (ENERGY.MODELSTAT EQ 1,

\* Report price effects PEX(SEC,SC) = 100 \* (PE.L(SEC) - 1); PDM(SEC,SC) = 100 \* (PD.L(SEC) - 1); PVD(SEC,SC) = 100 \* (PVA.L(SEC) - 1);

\* Report labor market effects LABOR(SEC,SC) = PL.L \* DL.L(SEC) \* 1000 ; PROP(SEC,SC) = PPR.L \* DP.L(SEC) \* 1000 ;

LABQT(SEC,SC) = DL.L(SEC) \* 1000; PROQT(SEC,SC) = DP.L(SEC) \* 1000;

LABPC(SEC,SC)\$LABQT(SEC,"base") = LABQT(SEC,SC)/LABQT(SEC,"base"); PRQPC(SEC,SC)\$PROQT(SEC,"base") = PROQT(SEC,SC)/PROQT(SEC,"base");

LCTAG(SEC,SC) = JOBCT("WSJ",SEC) \* (LABPC(SEC,SC)); PCTAG(SEC,SC) = JOBCT("PRJ",SEC) \* (PRQPC(SEC,SC));

DISPLAY LABQT, PROQT, labpc, prqpc, LCTAG, PCTAG;

\* REPORT IN VALUE TERMS (\$ AT CURRENT PRICES) XX(SEC,SC) = PE.L(SEC) \* EXP.L(SEC) \* 1000 ; DD(SEC,SC) = PD.L(SEC) \* DOM.L(SEC) \* 1000 ;

YY(SEC,SC) = XX(SEC,SC) + DD(SEC,SC);

VV(SEC,SC) = PVA.L(SEC) \* VAL.L(SEC) \* 1000 ;

II(SEC,SC) = SUM(ROW, PD.L(SEC) \* IOD.L(ROW,SEC) \*1000);

HH(ENFD,SC) = (PD.L(ENFD) \* CDD.L(ENFD))\*1000 ; HH(ROW,SC) = (PD.L(ROW)\*CCD.L(ROW))\*1000 ; HH("IMP",SC) = PM.L \* CMD.L \* 1000 ;

VS(SEC,SC) = PD.L(SEC) \* (VDD.L(SEC))\* 1000 ; VS("IMP",SC) = PM.L \* VMD.L\* 1000 ;

GG(SEC,SC) = PD.L(SEC)\*GDD.L(SEC)\*1000; FGG(SEC,SC) = PD.L(SEC)\*FGDD.L(SEC)\*1000; INN(SEC,SC) = PD.L(SEC)\*INDD.L(SEC)\*1000;

GET(SC) = SUM(SEC, (DD(SEC,SC)+XX(SEC,SC))\*TY0(SEC)); GETS(SC,SEC) = (DD(SEC,SC) + XX(SEC,SC))\*TY0(SEC) ;

IIODD(ROW,SEC,SC) = PD.L(SEC) \* IOD.L(ROW,SEC)\*1000;

YVL(SC) = SUM(SEC, YY(SEC,SC)); DVL(SC) = SUM(SEC, DD(SEC,SC)); XVL(SC) = SUM(SEC, XX(SEC,SC)); VVL(SC) = SUM(SEC, VV(SEC,SC));

\* REPORT IN QUANTITY TERMS (CONSTANT 1997 PRICES) DQTY(SEC,SC) = DOM.L(SEC) \* 1000 ; XQTY(SEC,SC) = EXP.L(SEC) \* 1000; VQTY(SEC,SC) = VAL.L(SEC) \* 1000; YQTY(SEC,SC) = DQTY(SEC,SC) + XQTY(SEC,SC);

IQTY(ROW,SC) = SUM(SEC, IOD.L(ROW,SEC) \* 1000);

VSQTY(SEC,SC) = VDD.L(SEC)\* 1000; VSQTY("IMP",SC) = VMD.L\* 1000;

VSTQTY(SEC,SC) = VDD.L(SEC)\* 1000 ; VSTQTY("IMP",SC) = VMD.L\* 1000 ;

HQTY(ENFD,SC) = CDD.L(ENFD)\* 1000 ; HQTY(ROW,SC) = CCD.L(ROW)\* 1000 ; HQTY("IMP",SC) = CMD.L\* 1000 ;

GQTY(SEC,SC) = GDD.L(SEC)\*1000 ; FGQTY(SEC,SC) = FGDD.L(SEC)\*1000 ; INQTY(SEC,SC) = INDD.L(SEC)\*1000 ;

\* IODD(SC,SEC,SECC) = IOD.L(SECC,SEC)\*1000;

DQ(SC) = SUM(SEC, DQTY(SEC,SC));

XQ(SC) = SUM(SEC, XQTY(SEC,SC)); YQ(SC) = SUM(SEC, YQTY(SEC,SC));

LABQT(SEC,SC) = DL.L(SEC) \*1000;

\* Welfare of agents

VWEL(SC) = WV.L ; GWEL(SC) = WG.L ; VW(SC) = VWEL(SC)\*CV0 ;

- \* PVE(SC) = (PV.L\*VWEL(SC))/(VWEL(SC));
- \* Price Indices
- \* SUMMARY("VPI",SC) = 100\*(PVE(SC)); SUMMARY("CPI",SC) = (PC.L - 1); SUMMARY("VPI",SC) = (PV.L-1);
- \* SUMMARY("CPI",SC) = 100\*(PC.L 1);
- \* SUMMARY("VPI",SC) = 100\*(PV.L-1);

\* Macroeconomic indicators, on level basis

MACRO("LBF",SC) = JOBS0;MACRO("UNP",SC) = JOBS0\*UNEMP.L\$UNEMPE ; MACRO("EWP",SC) = MACRO("LBF",SC)+MACRO("UNP",SC)\$UNEMPE ; \* "EWP" stands for "Eligible Working Population MACRO("VEN",SC) = 1000\*(CV0);MACRO("VER",SC) = 1000\*(CV0/PV.L); MACRO("HSN",SC) = SUM(IND, HH(IND,SC)); MACRO("HSR",SC) = SUM(IND, HQTY(IND,SC)); MACRO("HSP",SC) = 1000\*(SUM(IND, HQTY(IND,SC))/(MACRO("EWP",SC))); \* MACRO("HSP",SC) = 1000\*(SUM(IND, HQTY(IND,SC))/(MACRO("LBF",SC))); MACRO("CPI",SC) = 1+SUMMARY("CPI",SC); MACRO("VPI",SC) = 1+SUMMARY("VPI",SC); MACRO("WSN",SC) = 1000\*L0 \* PL.L ; MACRO("WSR",SC) = 1000\*L0 \* PL.L/PC.L ; MACRO("WSP",SC) = 1000000\*(L0/EMP0) \* (PL.L/PC.L);MACRO("WPN",SC) = 1000\*(L0/EMP0)\*(PL.L); MACRO("PRN",SC) = 1000\*(SUM(SEC, PR0(SEC)))\*PPR.L; MACRO("PRR",SC) = 1000\*(SUM(SEC, PR0(SEC)))\*PPR.L/PC.L; MACRO("PRP",SC) = 1000000\*(R0/OWN0)\*PPR.L/PC.L; MACRO("OTN",SC) = YVL(SC);MACRO("OTR",SC) = YVL(SC)/PC.L; MACRO("GSN",SC) = (VVL(SC) + GET(SC));MACRO("GSR",SC) = (VVL(SC) + GET(SC))/PC.L;

```
realout(SEC,SC) = YY(SEC,SC)/PC.L;
nomout(SEC,SC) = YY(SEC,SC);
```

\* END Loop for solved cases );

DynDat("ks\_s",sc) = ks\_s; DynDat("ks\_n",sc) = ks\_n; DynDat("lab",sc) = l0; DynDat("bop",sc) = bop0; DynDat("i.l",sc) = i.l;

\*-

\* Advance labor, capital, balance of payments, visitor expenditures to next time period, including update of jobs

```
ks_s = (1-dep0) * (ks_s + kn.l);

ks_n = (ret0 + dep0) * i.l;
```

```
L0 = (1 + GRW0)**(ord(SC)) * LBaseYr ;
R0 = (1+GRW0)**(ord(SC))*PRBaseYr ;
BOP0 = (1+GRW0)**(ord(SC)) * BOPBaseYr ;
```

```
CV0 = (1 + GRW0)**(ord(SC)) * VisBaseYr;
VD0(IND) = (1+GRW0)**(ord(SC))*VisConsBaseYr(IND);
```

```
EMP0 = (1 + GRW0)**(ord(SC)) * EmpBaseYr*(1-UNEMP.L$UNEMPE) ;

OWN0 = (1 + GRW0)**(ord(SC)) * OWNBaseYr ;

*OWN0 = (1 + GRW0)**(ord(SC)) * OWNBaseYr*(1-UNEMP.L$UNEMPE) ;

*EMP0 = (1 + GRW0)**(ord(SC)) * EmpBaseYr ;

*OWN0 = (1 + GRW0)**(ord(SC)) * OWNBaseYr ;

JOBS0 = EMP0 + OWN0 ;
```

Display DynDat;

\* Report the simulation results DISPLAY MACRO;

\* Display results with constant 2005 prices DISPLAY DQTY, XQTY, YQTY, LABQT, VSQTY, HQTY;

\* Display results in value terms (current prices) DISPLAY XX, DD, YY, LABOR, VV, II, HH, VS;

\* Display price changes DISPLAY PEX, PDM, PVD;

DISPLAY walras;

\* END Loop );

\*\$exit

\* Report Simulation Results

\$LIBINCLUDE XLEXPORT MACRO	RESULTS.XLS B1:M20
\$LIBINCLUDE XLEXPORT DQTY	RESULTS.XLS B22:M88
\$LIBINCLUDE XLEXPORT DD	RESULTS.XLS P22:AA88
\$LIBINCLUDE XLEXPORT XQTY	RESULTS.XLS B90:M156
\$LIBINCLUDE XLEXPORT XX	RESULTS.XLS P90:AA156
\$LIBINCLUDE XLEXPORT YQTY	RESULTS.XLS B158:M224
\$LIBINCLUDE XLEXPORT YY	RESULTS.XLS P158:AA224

\$LIBINCLUDE XLEXPORT LABQT	RESULTS.XLS B226:M293
\$LIBINCLUDE XLEXPORT LABOR	RESULTS.XLS P226:AA293
\$LIBINCLUDE XLEXPORT VSQTY	RESULTS.XLS B295:M362
\$LIBINCLUDE XLEXPORT VS	RESULTS.XLS P295:AA362
\$LIBINCLUDE XLEXPORT HQTY	RESULTS.XLS B364:M431
\$LIBINCLUDE XLEXPORT HH	RESULTS.XLS P364:AA431
\$LIBINCLUDE XLEXPORT PDM	RESULTS.XLS B433:M499
\$LIBINCLUDE XLEXPORT LCTAG	RESULTS.XLS B501:M567
\$LIBINCLUDE XLEXPORT PCTAG	RESULTS.XLS P501:AA567

\*\$exit

\* Aggregate Results for Compact Reporting Purposes

SET AGGR Labels for Aggregated Sectors/ PET, ELE, AGR, FRT, CON, OMN, AIR, WTR, TRN, RTL, REA, OSV, FGT, SGT /,

> MAP(SEC,AGGR)/ PET.PET ELE.ELE (SUG, VEG, MAC, PNE, FLO, CRO, ANI, AQU, FIS, SAG). AGR LOG. FRT (HOU,BLD,CON).CON (MNG,MAI,FOO,BEV,CLO,OMN).OMN AIR.AIR WAT.WTR (TRU,BUS,SCE,COU).TRN (WHL,RTL).RTL (REA, REN). REA (GAS,STO,PUB,MOV,BRO,TEL,WEB,OIS,CRE,INS,FNI,LEG,ARC,COM,ELS,OPS,MAN, TRV,ADM,WAS,UNI,EDU,HLT,HOS,NUR,SOC,ART,HOT,EAT,REP,LAU,ORG).OSV FGO.FGT STG.SGT /;

Parameter

DOMOUTR(AGGR,SC) DOMOUTN(AGGR,SC) EXR(AGGR,SC) EXN(AGGR,SC) OUTR(AGGR,SC) OUTN(AGGR,SC) WAGER(AGGR,SC) WAGEN(AGGR,SC) VISPENDR(AGGR,SC) VISPENDR(AGGR,SC) RESPENDR(AGGR,SC) RESPENDN(AGGR,SC) PRICE(AGGR,SC) EMPL(AGGR,SC);

DOMOUTR(AGGR,SC) = SUM(SEC\$MAP(SEC,AGGR),DQTY(SEC,SC)); DOMOUTN(AGGR,SC) = SUM(SEC\$MAP(SEC,AGGR),DD(SEC,SC)); EXR(AGGR,SC) = SUM(SEC\$MAP(SEC,AGGR),XQTY(SEC,SC)); EXN(AGGR,SC) = SUM(SEC\$MAP(SEC,AGGR),XX(SEC,SC)); OUTR(AGGR,SC) = SUM(SEC\$MAP(SEC,AGGR),YQTY(SEC,SC)); OUTN(AGGR,SC) = SUM(SEC\$MAP(SEC,AGGR),YY(SEC,SC)); WAGER(AGGR,SC) = SUM(SEC\$MAP(SEC,AGGR),LABQT(SEC,SC)); VISPENDR(AGGR,SC) = SUM(SEC\$MAP(SEC,AGGR),LABOR(SEC,SC)); VISPENDR(AGGR,SC) = SUM(SEC\$MAP(SEC,AGGR),VSQTY(SEC,SC)); VISPENDR(AGGR,SC) = SUM(SEC\$MAP(SEC,AGGR),VS(SEC,SC)); RESPENDR(AGGR,SC) = SUM(SEC\$MAP(SEC,AGGR),VS(SEC,SC)); RESPENDR(AGGR,SC) = SUM(SEC\$MAP(SEC,AGGR),HIT(SEC,SC)); RESPENDR(AGGR,SC) = SUM(SEC\$MAP(SEC,AGGR),HIT(SEC,SC)); PRICE(AGGR,SC) = SUM(SEC\$MAP(SEC,AGGR),HIT(SEC,SC)); OWNER(AGGR,SC) = SUM(SEC\$MAP(SEC,AGGR),LCTAG(SEC,SC));

#### \* Report Aggregated Results

*	
\$LIBINCLUDE XLEXPORT MACRO	RESULTS AGG.XLS B1:H20
\$LIBINCLUDE XLEXPORT DOMOUTR	RESULTS AGG.XLS B22:H36
\$LIBINCLUDE XLEXPORT DOMOUTN	RESULTS AGG.XLS K22:Q36
\$LIBINCLUDE XLEXPORT EXR	RESULTS_AGG.XLS B38:H52
\$LIBINCLUDE XLEXPORT EXN	RESULTS_AGG.XLS K38:Q52
\$LIBINCLUDE XLEXPORT OUTR	RESULTS_AGG.XLS B54:H68
\$LIBINCLUDE XLEXPORT OUTN	RESULTS_AGG.XLS K54:Q68
\$LIBINCLUDE XLEXPORT WAGER	RESULTS_AGG.XLS B70:H84
\$LIBINCLUDE XLEXPORT WAGEN	RESULTS_AGG.XLS K70:Q84
\$LIBINCLUDE XLEXPORT VISPENDR	RESULTS_AGG.XLS B86:H101
\$LIBINCLUDE XLEXPORT VISPENDN	RESULTS_AGG.XLS K86:Q101
\$LIBINCLUDE XLEXPORT RESPENDR	RESULTS_AGG.XLS B103:H118
\$LIBINCLUDE XLEXPORT RESPENDN	RESULTS_AGG.XLS K103:Q118
\$LIBINCLUDE XLEXPORT PRICE	RESULTS_AGG.XLS B120:H134
\$LIBINCLUDE XLEXPORT EMPL	RESULTS_AGG.XLS B136:H150
\$LIBINCLUDE XLEXPORT OWNER	RESULTS_AGG.XLS K136:Q150

\* Pau!

\*\_\_\_\_

# 3. Code to solve multiple Oil Price Scenarios

"run.bat"

set case=%1 call gams hawaii o=%case%.lst --case=%case%

copy RESULTS.XLS RESULTS\_%case%.XLS copy RESULTS\_AGG.XLS RESULTS\_AGG\_%case%.XLS

"runall.bat"

path=%path%;c:\program files\gams22.9 call run med call run low call run high call run none

## Appendix II. HELM Code

\$ontext Electricity sector model

ADAGE model representation

(1) Minimize Total Generation Costs subject to:

(2) Total Generation per period + Net Imports => Demand

(3) Capacity => Peak Demand \* (1+ Reserve Margin)

(5) Generation by Type <= Maximum Availability (capacity factor)

(6) Generation by Type => Minimum Availability

(7) Fuel Consumption <= Fuel Supply by Type

(8) Capacityt = Capacityt-1 + Build\_Capacityt (time period t)

(9) Retrofitst = Retrofitst-1 + Build Retrofitst

(11) Emissions <= Emission Cap – Net Emissions Banking

Souce: ema-model-doc

Minimize Total Generation Costs {PV over time}

= (Fixed O&M + Variable O&M + Fuel costs) {existing plants}

+ (Capital costs + Fixed O&M + Variable O&M + Fuel costs) {new plants}

+ (Capital costs + Fixed O&M + Variable O&M) {retrofits}

+ Transmission Costs

+ Environmental Taxes

(1a) Fuel Cost = Generation (kWh) \* Heat Rate (btu/kWh) \* Fuel Price (\$/MMBtu)
Construction costs for building new capacity are based on EIA (AEO 2008) data. Total capital costs are the sum over the payback period (or book life, assumed to be 30 years) of the discounted present value of the annualized capital costs. These annual costs are equal to the size of the new unit times the total overnight construction cost (adjusted for regional cost differences) times the annual capital charge rate.
(1b) Total Capital Cost = åPayback period PV [Capacity (kW) \* Cost (\$/kW) \* Capital Charge Rate] Capital charge rate = 13%
Payback period taken to be 30 years
Discount rate = 6%

In breaking up load over the year, want to account for daily peak, shoulder, and off-peak. Also, need to account for periods of greatest and minimal wind and periods of no sun and sun. The capacity factor for wind and solar should vary according to the time periods. Need to determine how much seasonal variability there is with wind and solar to figure out how many load blocks are needed. May need to map times to seasons or particular months depending on variability. Need to recognize blocks in a particular period so that maintenance makes sense.

\$offtext

\$if not set forecast \$set forecast base

Sets

\*

015		
b	"load block	s - 3 day types X 4 seasons X 7 different hour blocks" /b1*b84/
t		Time (solution years) /2010, 2015, 2020, 2025, 2030/
р		"Pools generally one per island, but may want 2 for Big Island" /Oahu, Hawaii, Maui, Kauai/
g		generators /
C	ahu units	
	AES	AES coal unit
	Hon	Honolulu 1 and 2 - LSFO

Waiau-ST Waiau - LSFO Waiau-CT Waiau - Diesel Kahe-ST Kahe LSFO DG Distributed generation Kalaeloa - Diesel Kalaeloa Hpower H-Power CIP Cambell industrial park unit O-Wind New Oahu wind O-BioFuel1 New Oahu Biofuel unit New Oahu Biofuel unit O-BioFuel2 New Oahu Land fill gas O-LFG O-NewCoal New Oahu coal unit

\* Hawaii Island units

Apollo DG-HELCO Hamakua Hawi Kanoelehua Keahole Keahole-Solar Lalamilo H-Hydro Puna-MSFO Puna-Diesel PGV Shipman-MSFO WHHill Waimea H-Geo1 H-Geo2 H-Wind H-BioFuel1 H-BioFuel2 H-BioFuel3 H-MSW \* KIUC units Kapaia K-Hydro Kaumakani-Wood Kaumakani-Oil Slr-PioneerHwy PA1 PA2 PA3 PA4 PA-Steam K-Wind K-BioFuel1

K-BioFuel2 K-BioFuel3 K-MSW

MECO units HCS Kaheawa Kahului1 Kahului2 Maalaea1 Maalaea2 Maalaea3 Maalaea4 MikiBasin Palaau Palaau-Solar M-Wind M-BioFuel1 M-BioFuel2 M-BioFuel3 M-MSW / ng(g) New generators / CIP O-Wind O-BioFuel1 O-BioFuel2 O-LFG O-NewCoal H-Geo1 H-Geo2 H-Wind H-BioFuel1 H-BioFuel2 H-BioFuel3 H-MSW K-Wind K-BioFuel1 K-BioFuel2 K-BioFuel3 K-MSW M-Wind M-BioFuel1 M-BioFuel2 M-BioFuel3 M-MSW /

\*

\* Create sets for stacked bar graph

coalg(g)
 FDslg(g)
 FDslg(g)
 Coal units /AES,O-NewCoal/
 Diesel units /Waiau-CT, DG, Kalaeloa, DG-HELCO,
 Kanoelehua, Keahole, Puna-Diesel, Waimea, Kapaia, PA1, PA2, PA3, PA4
 Maalaea1, Maalaea2, Maalaea3, Maalaea4, MikiBasin, Palaau/

FOilg(g) Fuel oil units /Hon, Kahe-ST, Waiau-ST, Hamakua,Puna-MSFO,Shipman-MSFO,WHHill,Kaumakani-Oil,PA-Steam,Kahului1 ,Kahului2/

BDslg(g)	Biodiesel units /CIP/
CPOlg(g)	CPO units /O-BioFuel1,O-BioFuel2, H-BioFuel1,H-BioFuel2,H-BioFuel3,K-BioFuel1,K-BioFuel2,K-
BioFuel3,M-BioFue	l1,M-BioFuel2,M-BioFuel3/
Windg(g)	Wind units /O-Wind,H-Wind,K-Wind,M-Wind,Apollo,Hawi, Lalamilo, Kaheawa/
GeoTg(g)	Geothermal units /PGV,H-Geo1,H-Geo2/
Wastg(g)	MSW units /Hpower,O-LFG,H-MSW, K-MSW, M-MSW/
Solrg(g)	Solar units /SIr-PioneerHwy,Palaau-Solar,Keahole-Solar/
Hydrg(g)	Hydro units /H-Hydro,K-Hydro/
BioMg(g)	Biomass units /Kaumakani-Wood, HCS/
f Fuel /FDs	l, LSFO, BDsl, CPO, Coal, MSFO
RPL	S Repower LSFO fueled unit to burn CPO
BioM	I Biomass
MSW	V Municipal solid waste
None	e for PV and wind/
oil(f)	Oil based fuels /FDsl, LSFO, MSFO/
c	Customer classes /Res, Mil, Com, Trn/
*-later rps R	PS pools /HECO, KUIC/
rps RPS poo	ls /HECO/
mapp2rps(p,rps	s) mapping of power pools to RPS pool /Oahu.HECO/
Alias(b,bb);	
Set mapIntf2g(f,g) * Unit Fuel Coal.AES LSFO.Hon LSFO.Waiau-ST LSFO.Waiau-CT LSFO.Kahe-ST FDsl.DG LSFO.Kalaeloa MSW. Hpower BDsl. CIP None. O-Wind Coal. O-NewCoal CPO. O-BioFuel1 CPO. O-BioFuel2 None. O-LFG	mapping of generator to initial fuel /
None.Apollo FDsl. DG-HELCO MSFO. Hamakua None.Hawi FDsl. Kanoelehua FDsl. Keahole None. Keahole-Sola	r

None. Lalamilo None. H-Hvdro MSFO. Puna-MSFO FDsl.Puna-Diesel None. PGV MSFO. Shipman-MSFO MSFO. WHHill FDsl.Waimea None.H-Geo1 None. H-Geo2 None. H-Wind None. H-BioFuel1 None. H-BioFuel2 None. H-BioFuel3 MSW. H-MSW \* KIUC FDsl.Kapaia None. K-Hydro BioM. Kaumakani-Wood MSFO. Kaumakani-Oil None. Slr-PioneerHwy FDsl. PA1 FDsl. PA2 FDsl. PA3 FDsl. PA4 MSFO. PA-Steam None. K-Wind None. K-BioFuel1 None. K-BioFuel2 None. K-BioFuel3 MSW. K-MSW \* MECO BioM. HCS None. Kaheawa MSFO. Kahului1 MSFO. Kahului2 FDsl. Maalaea1 FDsl. Maalaea2 FDsl. Maalaea3 FDsl. Maalaea4 FDsl. MikiBasin FDsl. Palaau None. Palaau-Solar None. M-Wind None. M-BioFuel1 None. M-BioFuel2 None. M-BioFuel3 MSW. M-MSW /;

Set mapf2g(f,g) mapping of generator to fuel /

\* Unit Fuel Coal. AES LSFO. Hon LSFO. Waiau-ST LSFO. Waiau-CT (CPO,LSFO). Kahe-ST (BDsl,FDsl). DG LSFO. Kalaeloa MSW. Hpower BDsl. CIP None. O-Wind Coal. O-NewCoal CPO. O-BioFuel1 CPO. O-BioFuel2 None. O-LFG None. Apollo FDsl. DG-HELCO MSFO. Hamakua None. Hawi FDsl. Kanoelehua FDsl. Keahole None. Keahole-Solar None. Lalamilo None. H-Hydro MSFO. Puna-MSFO FDsl. Puna-Diesel None. PGV MSFO. Shipman-MSFO MSFO. WHHill FDsl. Waimea None. H-Geo1 None. H-Geo2 None. H-Wind None. H-BioFuel1 None. H-BioFuel2 None. H-BioFuel3 MSW. H-MSW \* KIUC FDsl. Kapaia None. K-Hydro BioM. Kaumakani-Wood MSFO. Kaumakani-Oil None. Slr-PioneerHwy FDsl. PA1 FDsl. PA2 FDsl. PA3 FDsl. PA4 MSFO. PA-Steam None. K-Wind None. K-BioFuel1 None. K-BioFuel2 None. K-BioFuel3

MSW. K-MSW \* MECO BioM. HCS None. Kaheawa MSFO. Kahului1 MSFO. Kahului2 FDsl. Maalaea1 FDsl. Maalaea2 FDsl. Maalaea3 FDsl. Maalaea4 FDsl. MikiBasin FDsl. Palaau None. Palaau-Solar None. M-Wind None. M-BioFuel1 None. M-BioFuel2 None. M-BioFuel3 MSW. M-MSW /; mapping of generator to pool / Set mapg2p(g,p) \* Unit Pool AES. Oahu Oahu Hon. Waiau-ST. Oahu Waiau-CT. Oahu Kahe-ST. Oahu DG. Oahu Kalaeloa. Oahu Hpower. Oahu CIP. Oahu O-Wind. Oahu O-NewCoal. Oahu O-Biofuel1. Oahu O-Biofuel2. Oahu O-LFG. Oahu \* Hawaii units Apollo .Hawaii DG-HELCO .Hawaii Hamakua .Hawaii Hawi .Hawaii Kanoelehua .Hawaii Keahole .Hawaii Keahole-Solar .Hawaii Lalamilo .Hawaii H-Hydro .Hawaii Puna-MSFO .Hawaii Puna-Diesel .Hawaii PGV .Hawaii Shipman-MSFO .Hawaii WHHill .Hawaii Waimea .Hawaii H-Geo1 .Hawaii

H-Geo2	.Hawaii
H-Wind	.Hawaii
H-BioFuel1	.Hawaii
H-BioFuel2	.Hawaii
H-BioFuel3	.Hawaii
H-MSW	.Hawaii
KIUC	
Kapaia	.Kauai
K-Hydro	.Kauai
Kaumakani-V	Vood .Kauai
Kaumakani-C	Dil .Kauai
Slr-PioneerH	wy .Kauai
PA1	Kauai
PA2	.Kauai
PA3	.Kauai
PA4	.Kauai
PA-Steam	.Kauai
K-Wind	Kauai
K-BioFuel1	Kauai
K-BioFuel2	Kauai
K-BioFuel3	Kanai
K-MSW	Kauai
MECO	
HCS	.Maui
Kaheawa	.Maui
Kahului l	.Maui
Kahului2	.Maui
Maalaea1	.Maui
Maalaea2	.Maui
Maalaea3	.Maui
Maalaea4	.Maui
MikiBasin	.Maui
Palaau	.Maui
Palaau-Solar	.Maui
M-Wind	.Maui
M-BioFuel1	.Maui
M-BioFuel2	.Maui
M-BioFuel3	.Maui
M-MSW	.Maui

\*

\*

### Parameter

r	risk free rate of interest /0.05/
DF(t)	Discount factor
year0	First year for model /2010/
year(t)	Years
tint	Interval between model years /5/

;

/;

year(t) = year0 + (ord(t)-1)\*tint; DF(t) = 1/(1+r)\*\*(year(t)-year0)

\* System data

Set

```
sn season /F, Sp, Su, W/

dy day /1 M-Th

2 F

3 Sa-Su/

hr Hour /

1 "- 12 a.m. - 5 a.m."

2 "- 7 a.m., 8 a.m., 10 p.m."

3 "- 10 a.m. - 6 p.m."

4 "- 7 p.m."

5 "- 8 p.m."

6 "- 9 a.m., 9 p.m."

7 "- 6 a.m., 11 p.m."
```

;

Table LoadBlock(sn,dy,hr,b,\*) 84 load block data in MWh \*Island Season Day Hour Block

*Sea	ison	Dav		Hou	[	Block	Hour	s Oahu Hawaii Kauai Maui
F		1		1		b1	306	216678 32351 13865 13865
F		2		1		b2	78	55457 8280 3549 3549
F		3		1		b3	156	109244 16311 6991 6991
F		1		2		b4	153	152078 22706 9732 9732
F		2		2		b5	39	38373 5729 2456 2456
F		3		2		b6	78	68408 10214 4377 4377
F		1	•	3		b7	459	525474 78456 33626 33626
F		2		3		b8	117	133166 19882 8521 8521
F		3		3		b9	234	246112 36746 15749 15749
F		1	•	4		b10	51	60940 9099 3900 3900
F	•	2	•	4	•	b11	13	14980 2237 959 959
F		3	•	4		b12	26	29376 4386 1880 1880
F		1	•	5	•	b13	51	58229 8694 3726 3726
F	•	2		5		b14	13	14214 2122 910 910
F		3		5		b15	26	28088 4194 1797 1797
F		1		6		b16	102	110175 16450 7050 7050
F		2		6		b17	26	27626 4125 1768 1768
F		3		6		b18	52	52019 7767 3329 3329
F		1		7		b19	102	88740 13249 5679 5679
F		2		7		b20	26	22665 3384 1450 1450
F		3		7		b21	52	41508 6197 2656 2656
Sp		1		1		b22	318	220227 32881 14093 14093
Sp		2		1		b23	78	54567 8147 3492 3492
Sp		3		1		b24	162	110982 16570 7102 7102
Sp		1		2		b25	159	156059 23301 9986 9986
Sp		2		2		b26	39	38107 5690 2439 2439
Sp		3		2		b27	81	69987 10449 4479 4479
Sp		1		3		b28	477	538857 80455 34482 34482
Sp		2		3		b29	117	131321 19607 8403 8403
Sp		3		3		b30	243	246694 36833 15786 15786
Sp		1		4		b31	53	59984 8956 3838 3838
Sp		2		4		b32	13	14166 2115 906 906
Sp		3		4		b33	27	28186 4208 1804 1804
Sp		1		5		b34	53	60389 9016 3864 3864
Sp		2		5		b35	13	14202 2120 909 909
Sp		3		5		b36	27	28646 4277 1833 1833
Sp		1		6		b37	106	113999 17021 7295 7295
Sp		2		6		b38	26	27494 4105 1759 1759

Sp	3	6	b39	54	53112 7930 3399 3399
Sp	1	7	b40	106	90100 13452 5766 5766
Sp	2	7	b41	26	22239 3320 1423 1423
Sp	3	7	b42	54	42138 6291 2696 2696
Su	1	1	b43	324	240893 35967 15415 15415
Su	2	1	b44	78	58171 8685 3722 3722
Su	3	1	b45	156	115107 17186 7366 7366
Su	1	2	b46	162	166317 24832 10643 10643
Su	2	2	b47	39	39898 5957 2553 2553
Su	3	2	b48	78	71295 10645 4562 4562
Su	1	3	b49	486	576481 86072 36890 36890
Su	2	3	b50	117	138641 20700 8872 8872
Su	3	3	b51	234	253468 37844 16220 16220
Su	1	4	b52	54	63484 9479 4062 4062
Su	2	4	b53	13	14781 2207 946 946
Su	3	4	b54	26	28527 4259 1825 1825
Su	1	5	b55	54	64337 9606 4117 4117
Su	2	5	b56	13	14868 2220 951 951
Su	3	5	b57	26	29081 4342 1861 1861
Su	1	6	b58	108	121744 18177 7791 7791
Su	2	6	b59	26	28861 4309 1847 1847
Su	3	6	b60	52	54041 8069 3458 3458
Su	1	7	b61	108	97087 14496 6213 6213
Su	2	7	b62	26	23491 3507 1503 1503
Su	3	7	b63	52	43284 6463 2770 2770
W	1	1	b64	300	194588 29053 12452 12452
W	2	1	b65	78	51235 7650 3279 3279
W	3	1	b66	156	101244 15116 6479 6479
W	1	2	b67	150	139495 20827 8926 8926
W	2	2	b68	39	36219 5408 2318 2318
W	3	2	b69	78	63809 9527 4083 4083
W	1	3	b70	450	477797 71338 30575 30575
W	2	3	b71	117	123465 18434 7901 7901
W	3	3	b72	234	227202 33923 14539 14539
W	1	4	b73	50	56884 8493 3640 3640
W	2	4	b74	13	14178 2117 907 907
W	3	4	b75	26	27569 4116 1764 1764
W	1	5	b76	50	54387 8120 3480 3480
W	2	5	b77	13	13537 2021 866 866
W	3	5	b78	26	26490 3955 1695 1695
W	1	6	b79	100	101239 15116 6478 6478
W	2	6	b80	26	25989 3880 1663 1663
W	3	6	b81	52	48572 7252 3108 3108
W	1	7	b82	100	80623 12037 5159 5159
W	2	7	b83	26	21120 3153 1351 1351
W	3	7	b84	52	38694 5777 2476 2476
;					

Parameter BlkHr(b) Thousands of hours in each load block ChkHr Check number of hours; BlkHr(b) = Sum((sn,dy,hr), LoadBlock(sn,dy,hr,b,"Hours"))/1000; ChkHr = 8760/1000 - Sum(b, BlkHr(b)); Display BlkHr, ChkHr;

Table SystemLoad(\*,\*,\*)System load properties\*Total Demand and demand by load block in GWh

```
*
    Peak in GW
    Source: HECO IRP-3? Seem outdated given recent recession so load reduced
        2010 2015 2020 2025 2030
Oahu.Demand 8078.6 8413.7 8821.7 9435.3 9871.6
Oahu.Peak
            1.39 1.46 1.54 1.65 1.74
Hawaii.
          Peak 0.220 0.228 0.248 0.2685 0.2914
Hawaii.
          Demand 1226.5 1271.5 1377.3 1488.2 1611.4
Kauai. Peak 0.079 0.0835 0.0926 0.1035 0.1168
Kauai. Demand 505
                   525 581 649
                                    731
Maui. Peak 0.2322 0.2447 0.2761 0.3061 0.3362
Maui. Demand 1302.7 1369.1 1537.3 1709.6 1872.2
```

```
,
```

SystemLoad(p,b,t) = SystemLoad(p,"Demand",t) \* Sum((sn,dy,hr), LoadBlock(sn,dy,hr,b,p))/ Sum((sn,dy,hr,bb), LoadBlock(sn,dy,hr,bb,p));

Parameter

PeakDemand(p,t)Maximum load hours in year for pool p in GWReserveMargin(p)Reserve margin /<br/>Oahu 0.15/Demand(c,p,\*,t)Demand by class and pool for each block in TWhAvgLoad(p,b,t)Average load in GW

```
;
```

PeakDemand(p,t) = SystemLoad(p,"Peak",t);

\* Adjustment to load based on forecast

\* Real Electricity Sector Output (\$2005 billion) from H-CGE model and UHERO forecase Table EleDmdNdx(\*,\*) Electricity demand index from H-CGE

	None	Low	Base	High
2005	5 1.90	1.90	1.90	1.90
2010	2.16	2.05	2.05	2.05
2015	5 2.44	2.48	2.23	2.06
2020	2.76	2.85	2.47	2.20
2025	5 3.12	3.23	2.76	2.45
2030	3.52	3.63	3.07	2.73

;

\* Base system load off of UHERO forecast -- Assume 2005 load and peak grow at 1%/yr from 2005-2010 SystemLoad(p,b,t)\$(year(t)>2010) = SystemLoad(p,b,"2010") \* EleDmdNdx(t,"%forecast%")/EleDmdNdx("2010","%forecast%") \* EleDmdNdx("2010","%forecast%")/EleDmdNdx("2010","Base"); PeakDemand(p,t)\$(year(t)>2010) = PeakDemand(p,"2010") \* EleDmdNdx(t,"%forecast%")/EleDmdNdx("2010","%forecast%") \* EleDmdNdx("2010","%forecast%")/EleDmdNdx("2010","Base");

Demand("Res",p,b,t) = SystemLoad(p,b,t)/1000; Demand("Res",p,"Tot",t) = Sum(b,SystemLoad(p,b,t))/1000; AvgLoad(p,b,t) = Demand("Res",p,b,t)/BlkHr(b)\*1000; Display Demand,AvgLoad, PeakDemand; \*\*\$exit

 Table FuelCost(f,\*)
 "Fuel costs in 2007\$/MMBtu "

 2005
 2010
 2015
 2020
 2025
 2030

 FDsl
 13.7

LSFO 7.4 MSFO 7.4 Coal 3.8 3.8 3.8 3.8 3.8 3.8 MSW 20 BDsl CPO BioM 15 ;

Table OilForecast(\*,\*) EIA forecasts for oil (index 2005=1)

*	None policy assumes no change in oil prices from 2005
None	Base Low High
2005 1	1 1 1
2006 1	1.221 1.221 1.221
2007 1	1.433 1.433 1.433
2008 1	1.932 1.932 1.932
2009 1	1.179 1.179 1.179
2010 1	1.406 1.406 1.406
2011 1	1.39 1.061 1.613
2012 1	1.522 1.004 1.958
2013 1	1.65 0.972 2.268
2014 1	1.749 0.946 2.62
2015 1	1.813 0.915 2.858
2016 1	1.88 0.906 3.097
2017 1	1.934 0.903 3.309
2018 1	1.994 0.894 3.471
2019 1	2.022 0.878 3.585
2020 1	2.048 0.871 3.67
2021 1	2.072 0.861 3.715
2022 1	2.098 0.86 3.76
2023 1	2.127 0.865 3.808
2024 1	2.152 0.86 3.836
2025 1	2.18 0.863 3.878
2026 1	2.198 0.866 3.916
2027 1	2.228 0.868 3.944
2028 1	2.259 0.87 3.981
2029 1	2.3 0.871 4.022
2030 1	2.326 0.874 4.051
2031 1	2.372 0.874 4.081
2032 1	2.409 0.871 4.111
2033 1	2.448 0.871 4.127
2034 1	2.49 0.874 4.145
2035 1	2.532 0.876 4.165
:	
,	
* Tie oi	l fuel price forecasts to EIA
FuelCost(f,	t)(oil(f)) = FuelCost(f, "2005") * OilForecast(t, "%forecast%");
FuelCost("I	MSW",t) = FuelCost("MSW","2005") * OilForecast(t,"%forecast%");
FuelCost("I	BioM",t) = FuelCost("BioM","2005") * OilForecast(t,"%forecast%");
× ×	
Table BioF	uelForecast(*,*) Biofuel forecast
*	2007\$s/MMBtu Source: HECO IRP-4
	2010 2015 2020 2025 2030
BDsl-None	30.4 24.2 19.2 18.3 18.3
BDsl-Low	30.4 16.7 15.0 15.0 15.0
BDsl-Base	30.4 24.2 19.2 18.3 18.3

BDsl-High	30.4	31.7	23.3	21.7	21.7
CPO-None	22.6	16.3	13.1	13.3	13.7
CPO-Low	22.6	11.3	10.7	11.2	11.8
CPO-Base	22.6	16.3	13.1	13.3	13.7
CPO-High	22.6	21.2	15.5	15.5	15.5
:					

\*\*FuelCost("CPO",t) = BioFuelForecast("CPO-%forecast%",t); \*\*FuelCost("BDsl",t) = BioFuelForecast("BDsl-%forecast%",t); \*\* Using high price biofuels for now since using base against base oil seems to produce unrealistic relationship FuelCost("CPO",t) = BioFuelForecast("CPO-High",t); FuelCost("BDsl",t) = BioFuelForecast("BDsl-High",t); Display FuelCost; \*\$exit \* Define data for existing and new units Table GenDat(g,\*) Generator Data for existing units Cap FOM VOM HR CapFac MinGen EFOR derate CapCost Rnw YrOn \* GW (\$/kW) (\$/MWh) (MMBtu/MWh) % % 0.200 50 10 0.9 AES 0.2 3 8 0.1073 100 3 12.3 0.65 0.1 50 Hon 10.5 Waiau-ST 0.379 60 3 0.85 15 Waiau-CT 0.1018 60 20 0.5 80 3 Kahe-ST 0.6205 60 3 10 0.85 10 DG 0.0294 25 3 0.1 75 11 0.208 60 3 9.8 Kalaeloa 0.85 10 0.046 75 10 0.85 Hpower 3 15 1 \*\*Table NewGenDat(g,\*) Data for new generating units \* Cap FOM VOM HR CapFac MinGen EFOR derate CapCost Rnw YrOn \* \$/kW \$/MWh MMBtu/MWh \$/kW GW CIP 0.110 40 3 9 0.75 3000 0.8 2010 O-Wind 0.060 30 2 0.35 0.8 2000 1 0.500 80 7 10 0.75 2500 0.8 O-BioFuel1 O-BioFuel2 1.500 80 7 10 0.75 4500 0.8 O-LFG 0.050 80 0.15 6000 1 10 7 0.200 40 9 0.9 0.2 4000 O-NewCoal 4 \$ontext VOM&FOM for wind and Geo taken from IRP on new wind units Cap. Factors for Wind taken from IRP new units HELCO NetCapacity (MW) Fixed O&M \$/KwH Variable O&M \$/MWh HeatRate CapacityFactor CapFac MinGen EFOR derate CapCost Rnw Cap FOM VOM HR \$offtext Apollo 0.0205 11.1 1.7 0.41 0.0 0.8 1.0 9.8 DG-HELCO 0.0040 8.6 0.9 0.10 0.0 Hamakua 0.0600 53.5 1.2 8.5 0.85 0.0 1.0 Hawi 0.0106 11.1 1.7 0.41 0.0 0.8 Kanoelehua 0.0495 20.0 5.0 14.4 0.85 12.5 Keahole 0.0603 37.9 0.8 8.5 0.85 0.0 Keahole-Solar 0.0005 298.9 34.0 0.20 0.0 0.8 1.0 Lalamilo 0.0022 11.1 1.7 0.41 0.0 0.8 1.0 H-Hydro 0.0171 0.3 0.33 0.0 0.8 1.0 2.4 Puna-MSFO 0.0141 164.2 1.2 0.85 9.0 16.2 Puna-Diesel 0.0204 45.8 0.1 13.3 0.85 7.5

PGV 0.0300 5.5 22.5 0.85 0.0 1.0 17.0 0.85 Shipman-MSFO 0.0144 158.9 2.4 10.0 WHHill 0.0337 20.5 0.9 13.3 0.85 25.0 Waimea 0.0083 20.5 0.9 10.8 0.85 7.5 22.5 5000.0 1.0 2015 H-Geo1 0.008 8.3 0.85 0.0 H-Geo2 0.0300 8.3 22.5 0.85 0.0 5000.0 1.0 H-Wind 0.0299 20.07 1.68 0.45 0.8 3700.0 1.0 H-BioFuel1 0.0350 3.6 8.3 9.8 0.60 0.0 2357.0 1.0 9.8 0.60 0.0 H-BioFuel2 0.0350 3.6 8.3 0.0 0.0 4500.0 1.0 H-BioFuel3 0.50 3.6 8.3 9.8 0.60 0.0 0.0 0.0 6000.0 1.0 H-MSW 0.0073 107.8 21.9 18.8 0.83 4296.0 1.0 Kapaia 0.0266 0.0 1.4 8.2 0.85 14.0 0.0041 0.3 2.4 0.6 K-Hydro 0.67 0.0 Kaumakani-Wood 0.0028 10.5 11.7 14.6 0.8 0.0 Kaumakani-Oil 0.0009 0.0 4.3 11.4 0.85 0.0 Slr-PioneerHwy 0.0002 298.9 34.0 0.2 0.0 0.8 PA1 0.0075 25.9 7.8 10.0 0.85 0.0 PA2 0.0304 25.9 7.8 8.6 0.85 0.0 PA3 0.0401 25.9 7.8 9.8 0.85 0.0 PA4 0.0034 25.9 7.8 10.0 0.85 0.0 0.0090 25.9 1.6 PA-Steam 14.2 0.85 0.0 0.5 K-Wind 0.0299 20.1 1.7 0.0 0.45 0.0 0.0 0.8 3700.0 1.0 2357.0 1.0 0.035 3.6 8.3 9.8 0.60 0.0 0.0 0.0 K-BioFuel1 0.035 3.6 8.3 9.8 0.60 0.0 0.0 0.0 4500.0 1.0 K-BioFuel2 8.3 9.8 0.60 0.0 0.0 0.0 6000.0 1.0 K-BioFuel3 0.5 3.6 K-MSW 0.0 0.0073 107.8 21.9 18.8 0.83 0.0 0.0 4296.0 1.0 Fixed O&M \$/KwH Variable O&M \$/MWh \*MECO NetCapacity (MW) HeatRate CapacityFactor Cap FOM VOM HR CapFac MinGen EFOR derate CapCost Rnw HCS 0.0120 6.1 4.7 15.6 0.85 8.0 Kaheawa 0.0300 11.1 1.7 0.41 0.0 0.8 Kahului1 0.0095 168.0 1.1 14.6 0.85 4.6 Kahului2 0.0229 159.8 1.1 12.1 0.85 14.1 Maalaea1 0.0125 24.4 7.8 9.2 0.85 12.5 9.2 0.85 Maalaea2 0.0492 34.4 7.8 25.6 Maalaea3 0.0330 18.9 7.8 9.2 0.85 15.0 Maalaea4 0.1136 67.2 0.5 9.2 0.85 70.6 0.0104 25.9 7.8 10.3 0.85 0.6 4.7 MikiBasin 0.0152 25.9 7.8 10.1 0.85 0.6 5.3 Palaau Palaau-Solar 0.0022 298.9 34.0 0.8 1.0 0.2 M-Wind 0.0299 20.1 1.7 0.0 3700.0 1.0 0.0 0.4 0.0 0.8 0.0 M-BioFuel1 0.0350 3.6 8.3 9.8 0.6 0.0 0.0 2357.0 1.0 9.8 M-BioFuel2 0.0350 3.6 8.3 0.6 0.0 0.0 0.0 4500.0 1.0 M-BioFuel3 0.50 3.6 8.3 9.8 0.60 0.0 0.0 0.0 6000.0 1.0 M-MSW 0.0073 107.8 21.9 18.8 0.8 0.0 0.0 0.0 4296.0 1.0 Parameter MaxCan(g t)Maximum canacity in GW

111uncup(5,t)	
Cap0(g,f)	Initial capacity of existing units GW
derate(g)	Derating of units against reserve margin
FOM(g)	"Fixed O&M \$/kW"

```
"Variable O&M $/MWh"
    VOM(g)
                       "Capital cost to build new units $/kW"
    CapCost(g)
    MaxCapFac(g,b)
                       "Maximum Capacity factor - maximum utilization (%)"
    MinCapFac(g,b)
                       "Minimum Capacity factor - maximum utilization (%)"
    Heatrate(g,f) "Heat rate of fossil and biofuel units (MMBtu/MWh)"
    MustBld(g)
                       "Year unit g must come on-line"
HeatRate(g,f)$(mapf2g(f,g)) = GenDat(g,"HR");
VOM(g)
             = GenDat(g,"VOM");
            = GenDat(g,"FOM");
FOM(g)
            = GenDat(g,"CapCost");
CapCost(g)
MaxCap(g,t) = GenDat(g,"Cap");
Cap0(g,f)$(mapIntf2g(f,g) and not ng(g)) = GenDat(g,"Cap");
           = GenDat(g,"derate");
derate(g)
MaxCapFac(g,b) = GenDat(g, "CapFac");
MinCapFac(g,b) = GenDat(g,"MinGen");
MustBld(g)
            = GenDat(g,"YrOn");
Display CapCost, Cap0, MaxCapFac, MinCapFac;
**$exit
*
     Data inputs
Parameters
    RPSTgt(rps,t) "Level of RPS - fraction"
    RPSCredit(g,f) RPS credit
                 "Emissions cap for CO2 (MMT CO2)"
    EmisTgt(t)
*...
RPSTgt(rps,t) = 0;
RPSCredit(g,f)$mapf2g(f,g) = GenDat(g,"Rnw");
EmisTgt(t) = 0;
Parameter EmisRate(f) "Emissions rate in metric tons of CO2/MMBtu" /
Coal 0.094
FDsl 0.073
BDsl 0.018
LSFO 0.073
MSFO 0.073
CPO 0.018
MSW 0.04
Biom 0.018
/;
Parameter
    TtlEmis
                  Total emissions MT CO2
    RPSLvl
                 RPS level
                              pct
    TotGen
                 Total generation (TWh)
    TotCap
                  Total capacity (GW)
                       Transmission loss
    TrnLoss(p)
TrnLoss(p) = 0.08;
```

Model definition **Positive Variables** Gen(g,f,b,t)"Generation (TWh)" Cap(g,f,t)"Capacity (GW) - May want to have additional index to allow for repowering of units or retrofitting to burn alternate fuel" Bld(g,f,t)"New construction GW" Ret(g,f,t)"Retirements GW" Rtr(g,f,t)Retrofits Variables Objective function value - cost of generation Ζ Equations obi "Objective function = DPV of Fuel cost + Var O&M + Fixed O&M + New Construction Cost in millions ofdollars" In next phase need to account for post-terminal year costs to correctly balance operating and capital costs c Demand(p,b,t) "Required demand to be met by island, load block, and year (TWh)" c\_ResMar(p,t) "Reserve margin requirement - capacity requirement for each island system (GW)" C MinGen(g,b,t) "Must run minimum generation - Minimum generation that must be produced from specific units (TWh)" C MaxGen(g,b,t)"Maximum allowable generation - Maximum generation that can occur for each unit by time period (TWh)"  $C_CapInt(g,f,t)$ "Initial capacity - Defines initial capacity of each unit by fuel source"  $C_CapDyn(g,f,t)$ "Equation of motion for evolution of capacity - Cap(t+1) = Cap(t) + Build(t+1) - Retire(t+1) in GW" C MaxCap(g,t) "Maximum capacity for any given unit - Sets upper bound on capacity for any unit (GW). Constraint needed because some units" can burn multiple fuels "RPS target -- can define different RPS pools -- Renewable energy requirement for a given C RPSLvl(rps,t) system or set of systems" C EmisMx(t) "Emissions target (millions of metric tons) -- Currently only for CO2 if add other pollutants need additional index" : obj.. z = e = Sum(t, DF(t) \* ( $Sum((f,g,b)\mapf2g(f,g), FuelCost(f,t) * HeatRate(g,f) * Gen(g,f,b,t))$ Sum((f,g,b)\$mapf2g(f,g), VOM(g) \* Gen(g,f,b,t)) ++Sum((f,g)\$mapf2g(f,g), FOM(g) \* Cap(g,f,t))  $Sum((f,g)\mbox{mapf2g}(f,g), CapCost(g) * Bld(g,f,t)))$ + ; c Demand(p,b,t).. Sum((g,f) (mapf2g(f,g) and mapg2p(g,p)), Gen(g,f,b,t) \*(1-TrnLoss(p)) = G= Sum(c,Demand(c,p,b,t)); c ResMar(p,t)\$PeakDemand(p,t).. Sum((f,g) (mapf2g(f,g) and mapg2p(g,p)), Cap(g,f,t)\*(1-derate(g))) = G = (1+ReserveMargin(p)) \* PeakDemand(p,t); C MinGen(g,b,t).. Sum(fSmapf2g(f,g), Gen(g,f,b,t)) = G = MinCapFac(g,b)\*BlkHr(b)\*Sum(f,Cap(g,f,t));C MaxGen(g,b,t).. Sum(f\$mapf2g(f,g), Gen(g,f,b,t)) = L = MaxCapFac(g,b)\*BlkHr(b)\*Sum(f,Cap(g,f,t));C CapInt(g,f,t)(mapf2g(f,g) and ord(t) = 1)..

Cap(g,f,t) = E = Cap0(g,f) + Bld(g,f,t) CapCost(g) - Ret(g,f,t);C CapDyn(g,f,t)(mapf2g(f,g) and ord(t) > 1).. Cap(g,f,t) = E = Cap(g,f,t-1) + Bld(g,f,t) CapCost(g) - Ret(g,f,t);C MaxCap(g,t).. Sum(f\$mapf2g(f,g), Cap(g,f,t)) = L = MaxCap(g,t);C RPSLvl(rps,t)\$RPSTgt(rps,t).. Sum((f,g,b,p)\$(mapg2p(g,p) and mapp2rps(p,rps) and mapf2g(f,g)), RPSCredit(g,f)\*Gen(g,f,b,t)) = G = RPSTgt(rps,t) \* Sum((f,g,b)\$mapf2g(f,g), Gen(g,f,b,t));C EmisMx(t)\$EmisTgt(t)..  $Sum((f,g,b)\mapf2g(f,g), EmisRate(f)\maphaHeatRate(g,f)\maphaGen(g,f,b,t)) = L = EmisTgt(t);$ Model HIEle /all/;  $\operatorname{Cap.fx}(g,f,t)$  (Not mapf2g(f,g)) = 0; Gen.fx(g,f,b,t)(Not mapf2g(f,g)) = 0;Bld.fx(g,f,t) (MustBld(g) = year(t) and mapIntf2g(f,g)) = GenDat(g, "Cap"); Bld.up(g,f,t)(ng(g) and Not Bld.lo<math>(g,f,t) and Year(t) < 2015 and mapIntf2g(f,g) = 0; Display Bld.up; Solve HIEle using LP minimizing z; \*\_\_\_\_\_ \* Display results and summary statistics Parameter CapUtil Capacity utilization GenPool Generation by pool GenbyType Generation by type by pool NewBuilds New builds ; CapUtil(g,t)Sum(f,Cap.l(g,f,t)) = Sum((f,b), Gen.l(g,f,b,t))/(8.760\*Sum(f,Cap.l(g,f,t))) + EPS; $TtlEmis(t) = Sum((f,g,b)\mapf2g(f,g), EmisRate(f)\maphaHeatRate(g,f)\maphaGen.l(g,f,b,t));$ RPSLvl(rps,t) = Sum((f,g,b,p))(mapg2p(g,p) and mapp2rps(p,rps)) and mapf2g(f,g)),RPSCredit(g,f)\*Gen.l(g,f,b,t)) / Sum((f,g,b)\$mapf2g(f,g), Gen.l(g,f,b,t));TotCap(p,t) = Sum((g,f)\$(mapg2p(g,p) and mapf2g(f,g)), Cap.l(g,f,t)); $GenPool(p,t) = Sum((g,f,b)\mapg2p(g,p), Gen.l(g,f,b,t));$ Set type Type of generation / Coal-fired Coal Diesel units FDsl FOil Fuel oil units BDsl **Biodiesel** units **CPO1 CPO** units Wind Wind units Geo Geothermal units Waste MSW units

/

mapg2type(g,type) /
(AES,O-NewCoal).Coal
(Waiau-CT, DG, Kalaeloa, DG-HELCO,
Kanoelehua, Keahole, Puna-Diesel, Waimea, Kapaia, PA1, PA2, PA3, PA4
Maalaea1, Maalaea2, Maalaea3, Maalaea4, MikiBasin, Palaau).FDsl

(Hon, Kahe-ST, Waiau-ST, Hamakua, Puna-MSFO, Shipman-MSFO, WHHill, Kaumakani-Oil, PA-Steam, Kahului 1, Kahului 2). FOil

CIP.BDsl

(O-BioFuel1,O-BioFuel2, H-BioFuel1,H-BioFuel2 ,H-BioFuel3,K-BioFuel1,K-BioFuel2,K-BioFuel3,M-BioFuel2,M-BioFuel3).CPOl (O-Wind,H-Wind,K-Wind,M-Wind,Apollo, Hawi, Lalamilo, Kaheawa).Wind

(PGV,H-Geo1,H-Geo2).Geo (Hpower,O-LFG,H-MSW, K-MSW, M-MSW).Waste

(Slr-PioneerHwy,Palaau-Solar,Keahole-Solar).Solar (H-Hydro,K-Hydro).Hydro (Kaumakani-Wood, HCS).BioM

/;

```
GenbyType(p,type,t) = Sum((f,b,g)$(mapg2p(g,p) and mapg2type(g,type)), Gen.l(g,f,b,t));
NewBuilds(g,t) = Sum(f, Bld.l(g,f,t));
Display TotCap, GenPool, GenbyType, NewBuilds;
```

```
Parameter ObjVal;
```

```
 \begin{array}{l} ObjVal("Fuel",g,t) = Sum((f,b)\$mapf2g(f,g), FuelCost(f,t) * HeatRate(g,f) * Gen.l(g,f,b,t));\\ ObjVal("VOM",g,t) = Sum((f,b)\$mapf2g(f,g), VOM(g) * Gen.l(g,f,b,t));\\ ObjVal("FOM",g,t) = Sum((f)\$mapf2g(f,g), FOM(g) * Cap.l(g,f,t));\\ ObjVal("CapCost",g,t) = Sum((f)\$mapf2g(f,g), CapCost(g) * Bld.l(g,f,t)); \end{array}
```

```
Display CapUtil, ObjVal, EmisRate, Heatrate, TtlEmis
RPSLvl, Cap.l, Bld.l, Ret.l
Gen.l
```

```
file out /%forecast%.csv/; put out;
put "Total capacity by island (GW)" /
put "Island, Year, Capacity" /;
Loop((p,t),
Put p.tl,",",t.tl,",",TotCap(p,t):10:4 /;
```

```
);
```

```
put //;
put "Generation by pool (TWh)" /
put "Island, Year, Generation" /;
Loop((p,t),
Put p.tl,",",t.tl,",",GenPool(p,t):10:4 /;
);
```

```
put //;
put "Generation by type and by island (TWh)" /
put "Island, Type, Year, Generation" /;
Loop((p,type,t),
```

```
Put p.tl,",",type.tl,",",t.tl,",",GenbyType(p,type,t):10:4 /;
);
put //;
put "New builds by type and by island (GW)" /
put "Unit, Year, Capacity" /;
Loop((g,t),
    Put g.tl,",",t.tl,",",NewBuilds(g,t):10:4 /;
);
```